arXiv:1412.1672v1 [math.FA] 4 Dec 2014

SIMILARITY PROBLEMS IN NONCOMMUTATIVE POLYDOMAINS

GELU POPESCU

Abstract. In this paper we consider several problems of joint similarity to tuples of bounded linear operators in noncommutative polydomains and varieties associated with sets of noncommutative polynomials. We obtain analogues of classical results such as Rota's model theorem for operators with spectral radius less than one, Sz.-Nagy characterization of operators similar to isometries (or unitary operators), and the refinement obtained by Foiaş and by de Branges and Rovnyak for strongly stable contractions. We also provide analogues of these results in the context of joint similarity of commuting tuples of positive linear maps on the algebra of bounded linear operators on a separable Hilbert space. An important role in this paper is played by a class of noncommutative cones associated with positive linear maps, the Fourier type representation of their elements, and the constrained noncommutative Berezin transforms associated with these elements. It is shown that there is a intimate relation between the similarity problems and the existence of positive invertible elements in these noncommutative cones and the corresponding Berezin kernels.

Introduction

Throughout this paper, we denote by $B(\mathcal{H})$ the algebra of bounded linear operators on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let $B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1}\times_c\cdots\times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$ be the set of all tuples $\mathbf{X}:=(X_1,\ldots,X_k)$ in the cartesian product $B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1}\times\cdots\times B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$ with the property that the entries of $X_s:=(X_{s,1},\ldots,X_{s,n_s})$ are commuting with the entries of $X_t:=(X_{t,1},\ldots,X_{t,n_t})$ for any $s,t\in\{1,\ldots,k\}$, $s\neq t$. Note that the operators $X_{s,1},\ldots,X_{s,n_s}$ are not necessarily commuting. Denote by $\mathbb{C}\langle Z_{i,j}\rangle$ the algebra of all polynomials in noncommutative indeterminates $Z_{i,j}$, $i\in\{1,\ldots,k\}$, $j\in\{1,\ldots,n_i\}$. In an attempt to unify the multivariable operator model theory for ball-like domains and commutative polydiscs, we developed in [27] an operator model theory and a theory of free holomorphic functions on *regular polydomains* of the form

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}):=\Big\{\mathbf{X}=(X_1,\ldots,X_k)\in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1}\times_c\cdots\times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}:\ \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{X}}^{\mathbf{p}}(I)\geq 0\ \text{ for }\ \mathbf{0}\leq\mathbf{p}\leq\mathbf{m}\Big\},$$

where $\mathbf{m}:=(m_1,\ldots,m_k)$ and $\mathbf{n}:=(n_1,\ldots,n_k)$ are in $\mathbb{N}^k$ with $\mathbb{N}:=\{1,2,\ldots\}$, the *defect mapping* $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{X}}^{\mathbf{p}}:B(\mathcal{H})\to B(\mathcal{H})$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{X}}^{\mathbf{p}}:=(id-\Phi_{q_1,X_1})^{p_1}\circ\cdots\circ(id-\Phi_{q_k,X_k})^{p_k},$$

and $\mathbf{q}=(q_1,\ldots,q_k)$ is a k-tuple of positive regular polynomials $q_i\in\mathbb{C}\langle Z_{i,1},\ldots,Z_{i,n_i}\rangle$, i.e. all the coefficients of q_i are positive, the constant term is zero, and the coefficients of the linear terms $Z_{i,1},\ldots,Z_{i,n_i}$ are different from zero. If the polynomial q_i has the form $q_i=\sum_\alpha a_{i,\alpha}Z_{i,\alpha}$, the completely positive linear map $\Phi_{q_i,X_i}:B(\mathcal{H})\to B(\mathcal{H})$ is defined by setting $\Phi_{q_i,X_i}(Y):=\sum_\alpha a_{i,\alpha}X_{i,\alpha}YX_{i,\alpha}^*$ for $Y\in B(\mathcal{H})$.

In [28], we studied noncommutative varieties in the polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$, given by

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H}):=\{\mathbf{X}=\{X_{i,j}\}\in\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}):\ g(\mathbf{X})=0\text{ for all }g\in\mathcal{Q}\},$$

where $\mathcal{Q}$ is a set of polynomials in noncommutative indeterminates $Z_{i,j}$ which generates a nontrivial ideal in $\mathbb{C}\langle Z_{i,j}\rangle$. We showed that there is a *universal model* $\mathbf{S}=\{\mathbf{S}_{i,j}\}$ for the *abstract noncommutative variety*

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}:=\{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H}):\ \mathcal{H}\text{ is a Hilbert space}\}$$

Date: September 20, 2014.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46L07; 47A20; Secondary: 47A45; 47A62; 47A63.

Key words and phrases. Berezin transform; Fock space; Multivariable operator theory; Noncommutative polydomain; Noncommutative variety; Positive map; Representation; Similarity; von Neumann inequality; Weighted shift.

Research supported in part by an NSF grant.

such that $g(\mathbf{S})=0$, $g\in\mathcal{Q}$, acting on a subspace of a tensor product of full Fock spaces. We studied the universal model $\mathbf{S}$, its joint invariant subspaces and the representations of the universal operator algebras it generates: the *variety algebra* $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q})$, the Hardy algebra $F^\infty(\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q})$, and the C^*-algebra $C^*(\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q})$. Using noncommutative Berezin transforms associated with each variety, we developed an operator model theory and dilation theory for large classes of varieties in noncommutative polydomains.

In the present paper, we solve several problems of joint similarity to tuples of bounded operators in noncommutative regular polydomains $\mathbf{D}_\mathbf{q}^\mathbf{m}(\mathcal{H})$ and varieties $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H})$ associated with sets $\mathcal{Q}$ of noncommutative polynomials. We obtain analogues of the classical result of Rota [29] regarding the model theorem for operators with spectral radius less than one, the Sz.-Nagy [30] characterization of operators similar to isometries (or unitary operators), and the refinement obtained by Foiaş [10] and by de Branges and Rovnyak [4] for strongly stable contractions. We also provide analogues of these results in the context of joint similarity of commuting tuples of positive linear maps on the algebra of bounded linear operators on a separable Hilbert space.

If $\varphi: B(\mathcal{H})\to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a linear map we denote by φ^k the k iterate of φ with respect to the composition, i.e. $\varphi^k:=\varphi\circ\varphi^{k-1}$ and $\varphi^0:=id$, the identity map on $B(\mathcal{H})$. For information on positive (resp. completely positive or bounded maps), we refer the reader to the excelent books by Paulsen [15] and Pisier [18]. Let $\Phi=(\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_k)$ be a k-tuple of positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$. For each $\mathbf{p}:=(p_1,\ldots,p_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k$, where $\mathbb{Z}_+:=\{0,1,\ldots\}$, we define the linear map $\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^\mathbf{p}: B(\mathcal{H})\to B(\mathcal{H})$ by setting

$$\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{(p_1,\ldots,p_k)}=\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^\mathbf{p}:=(id-\varphi_1)^{p_1}\circ\cdots\circ(id-\varphi_k)^{p_k}.$$

If $\mathbf{p}:=(p_1,\ldots,p_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k$ and $\mathbf{s}:=(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k$, we set $\mathbf{p}\leq\mathbf{s}$ iff $p_i\leq s_i$ for all $i\in\{1,\ldots,k\}$. Given $\mathbf{m}:=(m_1,\ldots,m_k)\in\mathbb{N}^k$, we define the noncommutative cone

$$\mathcal{C}_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^\mathbf{m})^+:=\{X\in B(\mathcal{H}):\ X\geq 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^\mathbf{p}(X)\geq 0 \text{ for } 0\leq\mathbf{p}\leq\mathbf{m}\}.$$

In Section 1, we prove that if each positive map φ_i is *pure*, i.e. $\varphi_i^s(I)\to 0$ weakly as $s\to\infty$, then $\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^\mathbf{m}$ is a one-to-one map and each $X\in\mathcal{C}_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^\mathbf{m})^+$ has a Fourier type representation

$$X=\sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k}\binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1}\cdots\binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1}\varphi_1^{s_1}\circ\cdots\circ\varphi_k^{s_k}(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^\mathbf{m}(X)),$$

where the convergence of the series is in the weak operator topology. An important role in this paper is played by the class $\mathcal{C}_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^\mathbf{m})^+$ of noncommutative cones associated with commuting positive linear maps $\Phi=(\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_k)$ and the Fourier type representations of their elements. Basic properties of these noncommutative cones are provided.

Let $\mathbf{q}:=(q_1,\ldots,q_k)$ be a k-tuple of positive regular polynomials $q_i\in\mathbb{C}[Z_{i,1},\ldots,Z_{i,n_i}]$. Consider two tuples of operators $\mathbf{A}:=(A_1,\ldots,A_k)\in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1}\times\cdots\times B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, where $A_i:=(A_{i,1},\ldots,A_{i,n_i})\in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$, and $\mathbf{B}:=(B_1,\ldots,B_k)\in B(\mathcal{K})^{n_1}\times\cdots\times B(\mathcal{K})^{n_k}$, where $B_i:=(B_{i,1},\ldots,B_{i,n_i})\in B(\mathcal{K})^{n_i}$. We say the $\mathbf{A}$ is jointly similar to $\mathbf{B}$ if there exists an invertible operator $Y:\mathcal{K}\to\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$A_{i,j}=YB_{i,j}Y^{-1}$$

for all $i\in\{1,\ldots,k\}$ and $j\in\{1,\ldots,n_i\}$. We call Y the similarity operator.

In Section 2, using some ideas from [1], [26] and [28], we introduce a class of *generalized constrained noncommutative Berezin kernels* $\mathbf{K}_\omega$ associated with certain compatible tuples $\omega:=(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{m},\mathbf{A},R,\mathcal{Q})$. These kernels will play an important role in proving some of the similarity results, namely, that of similarity operators. Due to their explicit forms, we are able to estimate the magnitude of $\|Y\|\|Y^{-1}\|$ and provide von Neumann type inequalities. We introduce the *constrained noncommutative Berezin transform* $\mathbf{B}_\omega$ associated with a compatible tuple $\omega:=(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{m},\mathbf{A},R,\mathcal{Q})$ to be the operator $\mathbf{B}_\omega: B(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q})\to B(\mathcal{H})$ given by

$$\mathbf{B}_\omega[\chi]:=\mathbf{K}_\omega^*[\chi\otimes I_\mathcal{R}]\mathbf{K}_\omega,\qquad \chi\in B(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}).$$

where $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}$ is an appropriate subspace of a tensor product of full Fock spaces and $\mathcal{R}:=\overline{R^{1/2}(\mathcal{H})}$. We prove that the elements of the noncommutative cone $C_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}_\mathbf{A}}^\mathbf{m})^+$, where $\mathbf{\Phi}_\mathbf{A}:=(\Phi_{q_1,A_1},\ldots,\Phi_{q_k,A_k})$, are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of a class of extended noncommutative Berezin transforms. We

will see throughout this paper that there is an intimate relation between the similarity problems and the existence of positive invertible elements in these noncommutative cones.

The fact that the unilateral shift on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ plays the role of *universal model* in $B(\mathcal{H})$ was discovered by Rota [29]. Rota's model theorem asserts that any bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space with spectral radius less than one is similar to the adjoint of the unilateral shift of infinite multiplicity restricted to an invariant subspace. An analogue of this result was obtained by Herrero [13] and Voiculescu [32] for operators with spectrum in a certain class of bounded open sets of the complex plane. Clark [5] obtained a several variable version of Rota's model theorem for commuting strict contractions, and Ball [2] extended the result to a more general commutative multivariable setting. In the noncommutative multivariable setting, joint similarity to elements in ball-like domains or their universal models were considered in [19], [22], [23], and [26].

In Section 3, we obtain the following analogue of Rota's model theorem for similarity to tuples of operators in the noncommutative varieties. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a set of polynomials in indeterminates $\{Z_{i,j}\}$, where $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$ and $j \in \{1,\dots,n_i\}$, and let $\mathbf{A} := (A_1,\dots,A_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, where $A_i := (A_{i,1},\dots,A_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$ has the property that $q(\mathbf{A}) = 0$ for any $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. If

$$\sum_{(s_1,\dots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1} \cdots \binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \Phi_{q_1,A_1}^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{q_k,A_k}^{s_k}(I) \leq bI$$

for some constant $b > 0$, then there exists an invertible operator $Y : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{G}$ such that

$$A_{i,j}^* = Y^{-1}[(\mathbf{S}_{i,j}^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})|_{\mathcal{G}}]Y$$

for all $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$ and $j \in \{1,\dots,n_i\}$, where $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is an invariant subspace under each operator $\mathbf{S}_{i,j}^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathbf{S} := (\mathbf{S}_1,\dots,\mathbf{S}_k)$, with $\mathbf{S}_i := (\mathbf{S}_{i,1}\dots,\mathbf{S}_{i,n_i})$, is the universal model associated with the abstract noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_Q$. In the particular case when $n_i = m_i = 1$, $q_i = Z_i$, and $\mathcal{Q} = \{0\}$, the universal model $\mathbf{S}_i$ is the multiplication by the coordinate function z_i on the Hardy space of the polydisc $H^2(\mathbb{D}^k)$. As a consequence of the result above we obtain an analogue of Foiaş [10] (see also [31]) and de Branges–Rovnyak [4] model theorem for pure tuples of operators in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$.

Rota [29] also proved that any bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space with spectral radius less then one is similar to a strict contraction. In Section 3, we obtain an analogue of this result for noncommutative polydomains (see Theorem 3.8). To give the reader some flavor of this result we state it in the particular case of polyballs, i.e. $m_i = 1$ and $q_i := Z_{i,1} + \cdots + Z_{i,n_i}$. Let $\mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+$ be the free monoid on n_i generators $g_1^i,\dots,g_{n_i}^i$ and the identity g_0^i. We recall [19] that the joint spectral radius of a row contraction $T = [T_1 \cdots T_n]$ is defined by $r(T) := \lim_{k\to\infty} \|\Phi_T^k(I)\|^{1/2k}$, where $\Phi_T(X) := \sum_{i=1}^n T_i X T_i^*$. We say that $\pi_i : \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a strictly row contractive representation if its generators form a strict row contraction, i.e. $\|[\pi_i(g_1^i)\cdots\pi_i(g_{n_i}^i)]\| < 1$. We denote the joint spectral radius of the row operator $[\pi_i(g_1^i)\cdots\pi_i(g_{n_i}^i)]$ by

$$r(\pi_i) := r(\pi_i(g_1^i),\dots,\pi_i(g_{n_i}^i))$$

and call it the joint spectral radius of π_i. We prove that if $\pi_i : \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \to B(\mathcal{H})$, $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$, are representations with commuting ranges and $\sigma : \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+ \to \mathcal{H}$ is the direct product representation defined by

$$\sigma(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k) = \pi_1(\alpha_1)\cdots\pi_k(\alpha_k), \qquad (\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k) \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+,$$

then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) There is an invertible operator $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $Y^{-1}\sigma(\cdot)Y$ is the direct product of strictly row contractive representations, i.e. $Y^{-1}\pi_i(\cdot)Y$ is a strictly row contractive representation for each $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$.
(ii) $r(\pi_i) < 1$ for each $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$.

In [17], Pisier proved that there are commuting operators T_1, T_2 on a Hilbert space which are each similar to a contraction, i.e. there are invertible operators ξ_1, ξ_2 such that $\xi_j^{-1} T_j \xi_j$ is a contraction for $j = 1, 2$, but such that (T_1, T_2) is not jointly similar to a pair of contractions, i.e. there is no invertible operator ξ such that $\xi^{-1}T_1\xi$ and $\xi^{-1}T_1\xi$ are contractions. The proof of this result uses some ideas from Pisier's

remarkable paper [16] (see also [6]), where he solves the long-standing Halmos' similarity problem [11], [12], as well as Paulsen's beautiful similarity criterion [14].

We remark that, in the particular case when $n_1 = \cdots n_k = 1$, the above-mentioned Rota type result for polyballs shows that a k-tuple of commuting operators $(C_1, \ldots, C_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^k$ is jointly similar to a k-tuple of commuting strict contractions $(G_1, \ldots, G_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if

$$r(C_i) < 1, \qquad i \in \{1, \ldots, k\},$$

where $r(C_i)$ denotes the spectral radius of C_i. Rephrasing this result, on can see that for tuples of commuting operators similarity of each of them to a strict contraction is equivalent to joint similarity to strict contractions. In this case, we deduce the following inequality

$$\|[q_{s,t}(C_1, \ldots, C_k)]_{m\times m}\| \leq \sqrt{b} \sup_{|z_i|\leq 1} \|[q_{s,t}(z_1, \ldots, z_k)]_{m\times m}\|$$

for any matrix $[q_{s,t}]_{m\times m}$ of polynomials in k variables and any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where $b = \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{s_i=0}^\infty \|C_i^{s_i}\|^2\right)$. We remark that, in the particular case when $\|C_i\| \leq r < 1$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, we obtain the inequality

$$\|[q_{s,t}(C_1, \ldots, C_k)]_{m\times m}\| \leq \frac{1}{(1-r^2)^{k/2}} \sup_{|z_i|\leq 1} \|[q_{s,t}(z_1, \ldots, z_k)]_{m\times m}\|,$$

which seems to be new if $k \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$. We remark that, when $m = 1$, the inequality above is an immediate consequence of Cauchy-Schwartz inequality. When $k = m = 1$, due to a result by Bombieri and Bourgain [3], the constant $1/\sqrt{1-r^2}$ is best, in a certain sense, as $r \to 1$.

In 1947, Sz.-Nagy [30] found necessary and sufficient conditions for an operator to be similar to a unitary operator. As a consequence, an operator T is similar to an isometry if and only if there are constants $a, b > 0$ such that

$$a\|h\|^2 \leq \|T^n h\|^2 \leq b\|h\|^2, \qquad h \in \mathcal{H}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In Section 4, we obtain an analogue of Sz.-Nagy's similarity result for noncommutative polydomains (see Theorem 4.1). We shall mention the corresponding result in the particular case of the polyball. We say that $\pi_i : \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a Cuntz representation if its generators form a row operator matrix $[\pi_i(g_1^i) \cdots \pi_i(g_{n_i}^i)]$ which is a unitary from the direct sum $\mathcal{H}^{(n_i)} := \mathcal{H} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\pi_i : \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \to B(\mathcal{H})$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, be representations with commuting ranges and let $\sigma : \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+ \to \mathcal{H}$ be the direct product representation. Then there is an invertible operator $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $Y^{-1}\sigma(\cdot)Y$ is the direct product of Cuntz representations, i.e. $Y^{-1}\pi_i(\cdot)Y$ is a Cuntz type representation for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, if and only if the generators of each representation π_i form a one-to-one row operator matrix $[\pi_i(g_1^i) \cdots \pi_i(g_{n_i}^i)]$ and there exist constants $0 < c \leq d$ such

$$c\|h\|^2 \leq \|\sigma(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)h\|^2 \leq d\|h\|^2, \qquad h \in \mathcal{H},$$

for any $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+$.

In the particular case when $n_1 = \cdots = n_k = 1$, we also prove that a k-tuple of commuting operators $(C_1, \ldots, C_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^k$ is jointly similar to a k-tuple of commuting isometries $(V_1, \ldots, V_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^k$ if and only if there are constants $0 < c \leq d$ such that

$$c\|h\|^2 \leq \|C_1^{s_1} \cdots C_k^{s_k} h\|^2 \leq d\|h\|^2, \quad h \in \mathcal{H},$$

for any $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Moreover, there is an invertible operator $\xi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ such that $V_i = \xi C_i \xi^{-1}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and ξ is in the von Neumann algebra generated by $C_1, \ldots, C_n$ and the identity. As a consequence, we deduce the well-known result of Dixmier (see [8], [7]) that any uniformly bounded representation $u : \mathbb{Z}^k \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is similar to a unitary representation.

In Section 5, we provide analogues of all the similarity results presented in the previous sections in the context of joint similarity of commuting tuples of positive linear maps on the algebra of bounded linear operators on a separable Hilbert space.

We remark that all the similarity results regarding the noncommutative polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$ and the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$ are presented in the more general setting where the k-tuple $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \ldots, q_k)$ of positive regular polynomials is repaced by a k-tuple $\mathbf{f} := (f_1, \ldots, f_k)$ of positive regular free holomorphic functions.

1. Noncommutative cones associated with positive linear maps

In this section, we provide basic properties for certain noncommutative sets associated with commuting positive linear maps and obtain a Fourier type representation for their elements. These results are needed in the next sections.

Let $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k)$ be a k-tuple of positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$. For each $\mathbf{p} := (p_1, \ldots, p_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$, where $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \{0, 1, \ldots\}$, we define the linear map $\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{p}} : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ by setting

$$\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{(p_1,\ldots,p_k)} = \mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{p}} := (id - \varphi_1)^{p_1} \circ \cdots \circ (id - \varphi_k)^{p_k}.$$

Given $A, B \in B(\mathcal{H})$ two self-adjoint operators, we say that $A < B$ if $B - A$ is positive and invertible, i.e., there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that $\langle (B - A)h, h\rangle \geq \gamma \|h\|^2$ for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathbf{m} := (m_1, \ldots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ and define the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}})^{sa} &:= \{X \in B(\mathcal{H}) : \ X = X^* \text{ and } \mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{p}}(X) \geq 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p} \neq 0\}, \\
\mathcal{C}_=(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}}) &:= \{X \in B(\mathcal{H}) : \ \mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{p}}(X) = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p} \neq 0\}, \\
\mathcal{C}_>(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}})^{sa} &:= \{X \in B(\mathcal{H}) : \ X = X^* \text{ and } \mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{p}}(X) > 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p} \neq 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The definitions for the sets $\mathcal{C}_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}})^+$, $\mathcal{C}_=(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}})^{sa}$, $\mathcal{C}_=(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}})^+$, and $\mathcal{C}_>(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}})^+$ are clear. We also introduce the set $\mathcal{C}_\geq^{pure}(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}})^+$ of all $X \in \mathcal{C}_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}})^+$ with the property that, for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $\varphi_i^s(X) \to 0$ weakly as $s \to \infty$.

A linear map $\varphi : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is called *power bounded* if there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that $\|\varphi^k\| \leq M$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where φ^k is the k iterate of φ with respect to the composition. We say that a k-tuple $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k)$ of linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$ is *commuting* if $\varphi_i \circ \varphi_j = \varphi_j \circ \varphi_i$ for $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. A positive linear map φ on $B(\mathcal{H})$ is called *pure* if $\varphi^p(I) \to 0$ weakly as $p \to \infty$.

Proposition 1.1. *Let $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k)$ be a k-tuple of commuting positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$ and let $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^k$.*

(i) *If $Y \in \mathcal{C}_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}})^{sa}$ and $0 \neq \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ is such that $\mathbf{q} \leq \mathbf{m}$, then*

$$0 \leq \mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}}(Y) \leq \mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{q}}(Y).$$

If, in addition, $Y \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}}(Y) > 0$, then

$$Y \in \mathcal{C}_>(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}})^+ \quad \text{and} \quad Y > 0.$$

(ii) *If each φ_i is pure and $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a self-adjoint operator with $\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}}(Y) \geq 0$, then*

$$Y \in \mathcal{C}_\geq^{pure}(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}})^+.$$

(iii) *If $\Psi = (\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_k)$ is a k-tuple of commuting positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\psi_i \leq \varphi_i$ and $\psi_i \circ \varphi_j = \varphi_j \circ \psi_i$ for any $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, then*

$$\mathcal{C}_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}})^+ \subseteq \mathcal{C}_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Psi^{\mathbf{m}})^+.$$

Proof. Set $\mathbf{m} := (m_1, \ldots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ and $\mathbf{m}' := (m_1 - 1, m_2, \ldots, m_k)$. Since $\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}'}(Y) \geq 0$ and φ_1 is a positive map, we deduce that

$$0 \leq \mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}}(Y) = \mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}'}(Y) - \varphi_1(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}'}(Y)) \leq \mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}'}(Y)$$

Using the fact that $\varphi_i \circ \varphi_j = \varphi_j \circ \varphi_i$ for $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, one can continue this process and show that $0 \leq \mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}}(Y) \leq \mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{q}}(Y)$ for any $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{q} \leq \mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{q} \neq 0$. Similarly, if $Y \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}}(Y) > 0$, we deduce that $0 < \mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}}(Y) \leq \mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{q}}(Y) \leq Y$ and $Y \in \mathcal{C}_>(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}})^+$.

To prove (ii), set $\mathbf{m}' := (m_1 - 1, m_2, \ldots, m_k)$ and note that due to the fact that $\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}}(Y) \geq 0$ and φ_1 is a positive linear map, we have

$$0 \leq \mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}}(Y) = \mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}'}(Y) - \varphi_1(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}'}(Y)).$$

Hence, we deduce that $\varphi_1^p(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}'}(Y)) \leq \mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}'}(Y)$ for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}'}(Y)$ is a self-adjoint operator, we have

$$-\|\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}'}(Y)\|\varphi_1^p(I) \leq \varphi_1^p(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}'}(Y)) \leq \|\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}'}(Y)\|\varphi_1^p(I).$$

Now, taking into account that $\varphi_i^p(I) \to 0$ weakly as $p \to \infty$, we deduce that $\varphi_1^p(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}'}(Y)) \to 0$ as $p \to \infty$, which leads to $\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}'}(Y) \geq 0$. Using the commutativity of $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k$, one can continue this process and obtain $Y \in \mathcal{C}_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}})^+$. Since $\varphi_i^p(I) \to 0$ weakly as $p \to \infty$ and

$$-\|Y\|\varphi_i^p(I) \leq \varphi_i^p(Y) \leq \|Y\|\varphi_i^p(I),$$

we deduce that $\varphi_i^p(Y) \to 0$, as $p \to \infty$.

Now, we prove (iii). Note that if $G \in B(\mathcal{H})$, $G \geq 0$, then, for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$,

$$(id - \varphi_i)(G) \geq 0 \quad \implies \quad (id - \psi_i)(G) \geq 0, \quad r \in [0, 1]. \tag{1.1}$$

Assume that $Y \in \mathcal{C}_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}})^+$. If $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \geq e_1 := (1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$, then $(id - \varphi_1)(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{p}-e_1}(Y)) \geq 0$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $e_1 \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$. Consequently, due to relation (1.1), we have

$$(id - \psi_1)(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{p}-e_1}(Y)) \geq 0 \tag{1.2}$$

for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $e_1 \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$. Due to the commutativity of the maps $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k, \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_k$, the latter inequality is equivalent to

$$(id - \varphi_1)(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{p}-2e_1} \circ (id - \psi_1)(Y)) \geq 0$$

for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $2e_1 \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$. Due to (1.2), we have $\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{p}-2e_1} \circ (id - \psi_1)(Y) \geq 0$ and, applying again relation (1.1), we deduce that

$$(id - \varphi_1)(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{p}-3e_1} \circ (id - \psi_1)^2(Y)) \geq 0$$

for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $3e_1 \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$. Continuing this process, we obtain the inequality

$$(id - \varphi_2)^{p_2} \circ \cdots \circ (id - \varphi_k)^{p_k} \circ (id - \psi_1)^{p_1}(Y) \geq 0$$

for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $e_1 \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$. Similar arguments lead to the inequality $\mathbf{\Delta}_\Psi^{\mathbf{p}}(Y) \geq 0$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $0 \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{p} \neq 0$. Therefore, $Y \in \mathcal{C}_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Psi^{\mathbf{m}})^+$. The proof is complete. □

If $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k$ are positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, and $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, we define

$$\Lambda_i^{[1]}(Y) := \sum_{s_i=0}^\infty \phi_i^{s_i}(Y) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\Lambda_i^{[p]}(Y) := \sum_{s_i=0}^\infty \phi_i^{s_i}(\Lambda_i^{[p-1]}(Y)), \quad p \geq 2,$$

for those $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ for which all the series converge in the weak operator topology.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ and let $\phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k)$ be a k-tuple of positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$ such that each ϕ_i is pure. Then $\mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{\mathbf{m}} := (id - \phi_1)^{m_1} \circ \cdots \circ (id - \phi_k)^{m_k}$ is a one-to-one map and each $X \in B(\mathcal{H})$ has the representation*

$$X = \Lambda_k^{[m_k]}\left(\cdots\left(\Lambda_1^{[m_1]}(\mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{\mathbf{m}}(X))\right)\right),$$

where the iterated series converge in the weak operator topology. If, in addition, $\mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{\mathbf{m}}(X) \geq 0$, then

$$X = \sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1 + m_1 - 1}{m_1 - 1} \cdots \binom{s_k + m_k - 1}{m_k - 1} \phi_1^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_k^{s_k}(\mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{\mathbf{m}}(X)),$$

where the convergence of the series is in the weak operator topology.

Proof. We use the notation $\mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{(m_1,\ldots,m_k)} := \mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{\mathbf{m}}$ when we need to emphasize the coordinates of $\mathbf{m}$. Note that

$$\sum_{s_1=0}^{q_1} \phi_1^{s_1}(\mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{\mathbf{m}}(X)) = \mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{(m_1-1,m_2,\ldots,m_k)}(X) - \phi_1^{q_1+1}(\mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{(m_1-1,m_2,\ldots,m_k)}(X)). \tag{1.3}$$

If $Z \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a positive operator and $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies

$$|\langle \phi_i^{q_i}(Z)x, y\rangle| \leq \|Z\| \, \langle \phi_i^{q_i}(I)x, x\rangle^{1/2} \, \langle \phi_i^{q_i}(I)y, y\rangle^{1/2}, \qquad q_i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $\phi_i^{q_i}(I) \to 0$ weakly as $q_i \to \infty$, we deduce that $\langle \phi_i^{q_i}(Z)x, y\rangle \to 0$ as $q_i \to \infty$. Taking into account that any bounded linear operator is a linear combination of positive operators, we conclude that the convergence above holds for any $Z \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Passing to the limit in relation (1.3) as $q_1 \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\sum_{s_1=0}^{\infty} \phi_1^{s_1}(\mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{\mathbf{m}}(X)) = \mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{(m_1-1,m_2,\ldots,m_k)}(X).$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\sum_{s_1=0}^{\infty} \phi_1^{s_1}(\mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{(m_1-1,m_2,\ldots,m_k)}(X)) = \mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{(m_1-2,m_2\ldots,m_k)}(X)$$

and, continuing this process,

$$\sum_{s_1=0}^{\infty} \phi_1^{s_1}(\mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{(1,m_2\ldots,m_k)}(X)) = \mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{(0,m_2\ldots,m_k)}(X).$$

Putting together these relations, we deduce that $\Lambda_1^{[m_1]}(\mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{\mathbf{m}}(X)) = \mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{(0,m_2\ldots,m_k)}(X)$. Similar arguments show that $\Lambda_2^{[m_2]}(\mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{(0,m_2\ldots,m_k)}(X)) = \mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{(0,0,m_3\ldots,m_k)}(X)$ and, eventually, we get

$$\Lambda_k^{[m_k]}(\mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{(0,\ldots,0,m_k)}(X)) = \mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{(0,\ldots,0)}(X) = X.$$

Putting these relations together we obtain the first equality in the theorem, which implies that $\mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{\mathbf{m}}$ is a one-to-one map. Now, we assume that $\mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{\mathbf{m}}(X) \geq 0$. Then the multi-sequence of positive operators

$$\sum_{s_k^{(1)}=0}^{q_k^{(1)}} \cdots \sum_{s_k^{(m_k)}=0}^{q_k^{(m_k)}} \cdots \sum_{s_1^{(1)}=0}^{q_1^{(1)}} \cdots \sum_{s_1^{(m_1)}=0}^{q_1^{(m_1)}} \phi_k^{s_k^{(1)}} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_k^{s_k^{(m_k)}} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_1^{s_1^{(1)}} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_1^{s_1^{(m_1)}}(\mathbf{\Delta}_\phi^{\mathbf{m}}(X))$$

is increasing with respect to each of the indexes $q_k^{(1)}, \ldots, q_k^{(m_k)}, \ldots, q_1^{(1)}, \ldots, q_1^{(m_1)} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Using the first part of the theorem, we deduce that

$$\sum \phi_k^{s_k^{(1)}+\cdots+s_k^{(m_k)}} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_1^{s_1^{(1)}+\cdots+s_1^{(m_1)}}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{m}}(X)) = X,$$

where the summation is taken over all tuples $(s_1^{(1)}, \ldots, s_1^{(m_1)}, \ldots, s_k^{(1)}, \ldots, s_k^{(m_k)}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{m_1+\cdots+m_k}$ and the convergence is in the weak operator topology. Note that, for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the equation $s_i^{(1)} + \cdots + s_i^{(m_i)} = s_i$ has $\binom{s_i + m_i - 1}{m_i - 1}$ distinct solutions in $\mathbb{Z}_+^{m_i}$. Combining this fact with the equality above, one can complete the proof. □

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ and let $\Phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k)$ be a k-tuple of commuting positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$ such that each ϕ_i is weakly continuous on bounded sets. If X is in the noncommutative cone $\mathcal{C}_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Phi^{\mathbf{m}})^+$, then*

$$\lim_{q_k \to \infty} \ldots \lim_{q_1 \to \infty} (id - \phi_k^{q_k}) \circ \cdots \circ (id - \phi_1^{q_1})(X)$$

coincides with

$$\sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1 + m_1 - 1}{m_1 - 1} \cdots \binom{s_k + m_k - 1}{m_k - 1} \phi_1^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_k^{s_k}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{m}}(X)),$$

where the convergence of the series is in the weak operator topology.

Proof. Let $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a positive operator such that

$$\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Y) := (id - \phi_1)^{p_1} \circ \cdots \circ (id - \phi_k)^{p_k}(Y) \geq 0$$

for any $\mathbf{p} := (p_1, \ldots, p_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $p_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m_i\}$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. Fix $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and assume that $1 \leq p_i \leq m_i$. Then, due to the commutativity of $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k$, we have

$$(id - \phi_i)\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{e_i}}(Y) = \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Y) \geq 0,$$

where $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is the canonical basis in $\mathbb{C}^k$. Hence, and using Proposition 1.1 part (i), we have

$$0 \leq \phi_i(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{e_i}}(Y)) \leq \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{e_i}}(Y) \leq Y,$$

which proves that $\{\phi_i^s(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{e_i}}(Y))\}_{s=0}^\infty$ is a decreasing sequence of positive operators which is convergent in the weak operator topology. Note also that, due to the fact that $0 \leq \phi_i(Y) \leq Y$, the sequence $\{\phi_i^s(Y)\}_{s=0}^\infty$ is decreasing and convergent in the weak operator topology. Since ϕ_i is WOT-continuous on bounded sets and $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k$ are commuting, we deduce that

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \phi_i^s(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{e_i}}(Y)) = \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{e_i}}\left(\lim_{s\to\infty} \phi_i^s(Y)\right). \tag{1.4}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_i^{[1]}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Y)) &:= \sum_{s=0}^\infty \phi_i^s(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Y)) = \sum_{s=0}^\infty \phi_i^s\left[\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{e_i}}(Y) - \phi_i(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{e_i}}(Y))\right] \\ &= \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{e_i}}(Y) - \lim_{q_i\to\infty} \phi_i^{q_i}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{e_i}}(Y)) \leq \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{e_i}}(Y) \leq Y.\end{aligned}$$

Due to relation (1.4), and the WOT-continuity and commutativity of $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k$, we deduce that

$$0 \leq \Lambda_i^{[1]}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Y)) = \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{e_i}}\left(Y - \lim_{q_i\to\infty} \phi_i^{q_i}(Y)\right), \qquad \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}, 1 \leq p_i.$$

Define $\Lambda_i^{[j]}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Y)) := \sum_{s=0}^\infty \phi_i^s(\Lambda_i^{[j-1]}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Y)))$, where $j = 2, \ldots p_i$. Inductively, we can prove that

$$0 \leq \Lambda_i^{[j]}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Y)) = \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-j\mathbf{e_i}}\left(Y - \lim_{q_j\to\infty} \phi_i^{q_j}(Y)\right) \leq \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-j\mathbf{e_i}}(Y) \leq Y, \qquad j \leq p_i. \tag{1.5}$$

Indeed, if $j \leq p_i - 1$ and setting $Z := Y - \lim_{q_j\to\infty} \phi_i^{q_j}(Y)$, relation (1.5) implies

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_i^{[j+1]}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Y)) &= \lim_{q_{j+1}\to\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{q_{j+1}} \phi_i^s\left[\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-j\mathbf{e_i}}(Z)\right] \\ &= \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-(j+1)\mathbf{e_i}}\left[Z - \lim_{q_{j+1}\to\infty} \phi_i^{q_{j+1}}(Z)\right] \\ &= \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-(j+1)\mathbf{e_i}}(Z) - \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-(j+1)\mathbf{e_i}}\left(\lim_{q_{j+1}\to\infty} \phi_i^{q_{j+1}}(Z)\right).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{q_{j+1}\to\infty} \phi_i^{q_{j+1}}(Z) &= \lim_{q_{j+1}\to\infty} \phi_i^{q_{j+1}}\left(Y - \lim_{q_j\to\infty} \phi_i^{q_j}(Y)\right) \\ &= \lim_{q_{j+1}\to\infty} \phi_i^{q_{j+1}}(Y) - \lim_{q_{j+1}\to\infty} \lim_{q_j\to\infty} \phi_i^{q_{j+1}}\left(\phi_i^{q_j}(Y)\right) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Combining these results, we obtain

$$\Lambda_i^{[j+1]}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Y)) = \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-(j+1)\mathbf{e_i}}\left(Y - \lim_{q_j\to\infty} \phi_i^{q_j}(Y)\right) \leq \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-(j+1)\mathbf{e_i}}(Y) \leq Y,$$

for any $\mathbf{p} := (p_1, \ldots, p_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$ and $p_i \geq 1$, which proves our assertion. When $j = p_i$, relation (1.5) becomes

$$0 \leq \Lambda_i^{[p_i]}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Y)) = \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-p_i\mathbf{e_i}}\left(Y - \lim_{q_i\to\infty} \phi_i^{q_i}(Y)\right) \leq Y.$$

Due to the results above, we have

$$0 \leq \Lambda_i^{[m_i]}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Y)) = \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-m_i\mathbf{e_i}}\left(Y - \lim_{q_i\to\infty}\phi_i^{q_i}(Y)\right) \leq \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}-m_i\mathbf{e_i}}(Y) \leq Y, \tag{1.6}$$

for any $\mathbf{p} := (p_1, \ldots, p_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$ and $p_i = m_i$. Applying relation (1.6) in the particular case when $i = 1$, $p_1 = m_1$, and $Y = X$, we have

$$0 \leq \Lambda_1^{[m_1]}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}'}(X)) = \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}'-m_1\mathbf{e_1}}\left(X - \lim_{q_1\to\infty}\phi_1^{q_1}(X)\right) \leq \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}'-m_1\mathbf{e_1}}(X) \leq X$$

for any $\mathbf{p}' = (m_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k)$ with $\mathbf{p}' \leq \mathbf{m}$. Hence and using again relation (1.6), when $i = 2$, $\mathbf{p} = (0, m_2, p_3 \ldots, p_k)$, and $Y = X - \lim_{q_1\to\infty}\phi_1^{q_1}(X) \geq 0$, we obtain

$$0 \leq \Lambda_2^{[m_2]}\left(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}''-m_1\mathbf{e_1}}\left(X - \lim_{q_1\to\infty}\phi_1^{q_1}(X)\right)\right) = \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}''-m_1\mathbf{e_1}-m_2\mathbf{e_2}} \lim_{q_2\to\infty}\lim_{q_1\to\infty}(id - \phi_2^{q_2}) \circ (id - \phi_1^{q_1})(X)$$
$$\leq \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}''-m_1\mathbf{e_1}-m_2\mathbf{e_2}}(X) \leq X$$

for any $\mathbf{p}'' = (m_1, m_2, p_3, \ldots, p_k)$. Continuing this process, a repeated application of (1.6), leads to the relation

$$0 \leq \Lambda_k^{[m_k]}\left(\cdots\left(\Lambda_1^{[m_1]}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{m}}(X))\right)\right) = \lim_{q_k\to\infty}\ldots\lim_{q_1\to\infty}(id - \phi_k^{q_k}) \circ \cdots \circ (id - \phi_1^{q_1})(X) \leq X,$$

where $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_k)$. Since $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{m}}(X) \geq 0$, we can easily see that

$$\sum \phi_k^{s_k^{(1)}+\cdots+s_k^{(m_k)}} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_1^{s_1^{(1)}+\cdots+s_1^{(m_1)}}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{m}}(X)) = \Lambda_k^{[m_k]}\left(\cdots\left(\Lambda_1^{[m_1]}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{m}}(X))\right)\right),$$

where the summation is taken over all $(s_1^{(1)}, \ldots, s_1^{(m_1)}, \ldots, s_k^{(1)}, \ldots, s_k^{(m_k)}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{m_1+\cdots+m_k}$ and the convergence is in the weak operator topology. As in the proof of Theorem 1.2, one can show that the left-hand side of the equality above coincides with

$$\sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k}\binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1}\cdots\binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1}\phi_1^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_k^{s_k}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{m}}(X)).$$

Now, one can easily complete the proof. □

We recall that $Y \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\Phi}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$ is called pure if, for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $\varphi_i^s(Y) \to 0$ weakly as $s \to \infty$.

Proposition 1.4. *Let $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ and let $\Phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k)$ be a k-tuple of commuting positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$ such that each ϕ_i is weakly continuous on bounded sets, and let $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a positive operator.*

(i) *If $Y \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\Phi}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$, then*

$$0 \leq \lim_{q_k\to\infty}\ldots\lim_{q_1\to\infty}(id - \phi_k^{q_k}) \circ \cdots \circ (id - \phi_1^{q_1})(Y) \leq Y.$$

(ii) *The operator $Y \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\Phi}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$ is pure if and only if*

$$\lim_{\mathbf{q}=(q_1,\ldots,q_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k}(id - \phi_k^{q_k}) \circ \cdots \circ (id - \phi_1^{q_1})(Y) = Y.$$

Proof. Since $(id - \phi_k) \circ \cdots \circ (id - \phi_1)(Y) \geq 0$ and taking into account that $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k$ are commuting, we have

$$0 \leq (id - \phi_k^{q_k}) \circ \cdots \circ (id - \phi_1^{q_1})(Y) = \sum_{s_k=0}^{q_k-1}\phi_k^{s_k} \circ \cdots \circ \sum_{s_1=0}^{q_1-1}\phi_1^{s_1} \circ (id - \phi_k) \circ \cdots \circ (id - \phi_1)(Y).$$

Therefore, $\{(id - \phi_k^{q_k}) \cdots (id - \phi_1^{q_1})(Y)\}_{\mathbf{q}=(q_1,\ldots,q_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k}$ is an increasing sequence of positive operators. Note also that

$$0 \leq (id - \phi_k^{q_k}) \circ \cdots \circ (id - \phi_1^{q_1})(Y) \leq (id - \phi_{k-1}^{q_{k-1}}) \circ \cdots \circ (id - \phi_1^{q_1})(Y) \leq \cdots \leq (id - \phi_1^{q_1})(Y) \leq Y$$

and, similarly, we have

$$0 \leq (id - \phi_k^{q_k}) \circ \cdots \circ (id - \phi_1^{q_1})(Y) \leq (id - \phi_i^{q_i})(Y) \leq Y$$

for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. Hence, we can deduce that an operator $Y \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\Phi}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$ satisfies relation in (ii) if and only if $\phi_i^s(Y) \to 0$ weakly as $s \to \infty$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. □

Proposition 1.5. *Let $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k)$ be a k-tuple of commuting, power bounded, positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$ and let $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$. If $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a positive operator such that $\phi_i(Y) \leq Y$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, then the following statements hold.*

(i) *If $m_1\phi_1(Y) + \cdots + m_k\phi_k(Y) \leq Y$, then $Y \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\Phi}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$.*
(ii) *If $Y \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\Phi}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$, then $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\Phi}^{\mathbf{m}}(Y) = 0$ if and only if*

$$(id - \phi_1) \circ \cdots \circ (id - \phi_k)(Y) = 0.$$

Proof. To prove part (i), we recall (see [27]) that if $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k)$ is a k-tuple of commuting, power bounded, positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$, $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is self-adjoint, and $\mathbf{m} := (m_1, \ldots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$, then

$$Y \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\Phi}^{\mathbf{m}})^{sa} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad (id - \varphi_1)^{\epsilon_1 m_1} \circ \cdots \circ (id - \varphi_k)^{\epsilon_k m_k}(Y) \geq 0$$

for all $\epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ with $(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_k) \neq 0$.

Let $p := m_1 + \cdots + m_k$ and set $i_j := 1$ if $1 \leq j \leq m_1$, $i_j := 2$ if $m_1 + 1 \leq j \leq m_1 + m_2$, ..., and $i_j := k$ if $m_1 + \cdots + m_{k-1} + 1 \leq j \leq m_1 + \cdots + m_k$. Due to the remarks above, to prove (ii) is equivalent to showing that if $\sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_{i_j}(Y) \leq Y$, then

$$(id - \phi_{i_1}) \cdots (id - \phi_{i_p})(Y) \geq 0.$$

Set $Y_{i_0} := Y$ and $Y_{i_j} := (id - \phi_{i_j})(Y_{i_{j-1}})$ if $j \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$. We proceed inductively. Note that $Y = Y_{i_0} \geq Y_{i_1} = (id - \phi_{i_1})(Y) \geq 0$. Let $n < p$ and assume that

$$Y \geq Y_{i_n} \geq (id - \phi_{i_1} - \cdots - \phi_{i_n})(Y) \geq 0.$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} Y \geq Y_{i_n} \geq Y_{i_{n+1}} &= Y_{i_n} - \phi_{i_{n+1}}(Y_{i_n}) \\ &\geq (id - \phi_{i_1} - \cdots - \phi_{i_n})(Y) - \phi_{i_{n+1}}(Y), \end{aligned}$$

which proves item (i). Now, we prove part (ii). If $Y \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\Phi}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$, then

$$(id - \phi_1)^{p_1} \circ \cdots \circ (id - \phi_k)^{p_k}(Y) \geq 0$$

for any $p_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m_i\}$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. Due to Lemma 6.2 from [24], if $\varphi : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a power bounded positive linear map such that $D \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a positive operator with $(id - \varphi)(D) \geq 0$, and $\gamma \geq 1$, then

$$(id - \varphi)^{\gamma}(D) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad (id - \varphi)(D) = 0.$$

Applying this result in our setting when $\varphi = \phi_1$, $\gamma = m_1$, and $D = (id - \phi_2)^{m_2} \circ \cdots \circ (id - \phi_k)^{m_k}(Y) \geq 0$, we deduce that relation $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\Phi}^{\mathbf{m}}(Y) = 0$ is equivalent to $(id - \phi_1)(D) = 0$. Due to the commutativity of $\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_k$, the latter equality is equivalent to $(id - \phi_2)^{m_2}(\Lambda) = 0$, where

$$\Lambda := (id - \phi_3)^{m_3} \circ \cdots \circ (id - \phi_k)^{m_k} \circ (id - \phi_1)(Y) \geq 0.$$

Applying again the result mentioned above, we deduce that the latter equality is equivalent to $(id - \phi_2)(\Lambda) = 0$. Continuing this process, we can complete the proof of part (ii). □

2. Generalized Noncommutative Berezin transforms

In this section, we introduce a class of generalized (constrained) noncommutative Berezin kernels $\mathbf{K}_\omega$ associated with certain compatible tuples $\omega := (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{A}, R, \mathcal{Q})$. These kernels will play an important role in proving most of the similarity results. We also prove that the elements of the noncommutative cones $C_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{\Phi_A}})^+$ and $C^{pure}_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{\Phi_A}})^+$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of certain classes of extended noncommutative Berezin transforms.

Let $\mathbf{n} := (n_1, \ldots, n_k)$, where $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, let $\mathbb{F}^+_{n_i}$ be the free monoid on n_i generators $g^i_1, \ldots, g^i_{n_i}$ and the identity g^i_0. The length of $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^+_{n_i}$ is defined by $|\alpha| := 0$ if $\alpha = g^i_0$ and $|\alpha| := p$ if $\alpha = g^i_{j_1} \cdots g^i_{j_p}$, where $j_1, \ldots, j_p \in \{1, \ldots, n_i\}$. If $Z_{i,1}, \ldots, Z_{i,n_i}$ are noncommuting indeterminates, we denote $Z_{i,\alpha} := Z_{i,j_1} \cdots Z_{i,j_p}$ and $Z_{i,g^i_0} := 1$. Let $f_i := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^+_{n_i}} a_{i,\alpha} Z_{i,\alpha}$, $a_{i,\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$, be a formal power series in n_i noncommuting indeterminates $Z_{i,1}, \ldots, Z_{i,n_i}$. We say that f_i is a *positive regular free holomorphic function* if the following conditions hold: $a_{i,\alpha} \geq 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^+_{n_i}$, $a_{i,g^i_0} = 0$, $a_{i,g^i_j} > 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n_i$, and

$$\limsup_{p \to \infty} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^+_{n_i}, |\alpha| = p} |a_{i,\alpha}|^2 \right)^{1/2p} < \infty.$$

For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, let $f_i := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^+_{n_i}, |\alpha| \geq 1} a_{i,\alpha} Z_{i,\alpha}$ be a positive regular free holomorphic function in n_i variables and let $A_i := (A_{i,1}, \ldots, A_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$ be an n_i-tuple of operators such that $\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_{i,\alpha} A_{i,\alpha} A^*_{i,\alpha}$ is convergent in the weak operator topology. One can easily prove that the map $\Phi_{f_i,A_i} : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$, defined by

$$\Phi_{f_i,A_i}(X) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_{i,\alpha} A_{i,\alpha} X A^*_{i,\alpha}, \qquad X \in B(\mathcal{H}),$$

where the convergence is in the weak operator topology, is a completely positive linear map which is WOT-continuous on bounded sets. Moreover, if $0 < r < 1$, then

$$\Phi_{f_i,A_i}(X) = \text{WOT-}\lim_{r \to 1} \Phi_{f_i, rA_i}(X), \qquad X \in B(\mathcal{H}).$$

We denote by $B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$ the set of all tuples $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times \cdots \times B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, where $X_i := (X_{i,1}, \ldots, X_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, with the property that, for any $p, q \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $p \neq q$, the entries of X_p are commuting with the entries of X_q. In this case we say that X_p and X_q are commuting tuples of operators.

Let $\mathbf{A} := (A_1, \ldots, A_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, where $A_i := (A_{i,1}, \ldots, A_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$, be such that $\Phi_{f_i,A_i}(I)$ is well-defined in the weak operator topology. If $\mathbf{p} := (p_1, \ldots, p_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k_+$ and $\mathbf{f} := (f_1, \ldots, f_k)$, we denote $\mathbf{\Phi_A} := (\Phi_{f_1,A_1}, \ldots, \Phi_{f_k,A_k})$ and define the *defect mapping* $\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}} : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ by setting

$$\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathbf{\Phi_A}} := (id - \Phi_{f_1,A_1})^{p_1} \circ \cdots \circ (id - \Phi_{f_k,A_k})^{p_k}.$$

Let $\mathbf{n} := (n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ and $\mathbf{m} := (m_1, \ldots, m_k)$, where $n_i, m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathbf{f} := (f_1, \ldots, f_k)$ be a k-tuple of positive regular free holomorphic functions. In [27], we developed an operator model theory for the noncommutative polydomain

$$\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathcal{H}) := \left\{ \mathbf{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k} : \ \mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{X}}(I) \geq 0 \ \text{ for } \ \mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m} \right\}.$$

We refer to $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f}} := \{\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathcal{H}) : \ \mathcal{H} \text{ is a Hilbert space}\}$ as the *abstract noncommutative (regular) polydomain*, and $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathcal{H})$ as its representation on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let H_{n_i} be an n_i-dimensional complex Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $e^i_1, \ldots, e^i_{n_i}$. We consider the full Fock space of H_{n_i} defined by

$$F^2(H_{n_i}) := \mathbb{C}1 \oplus \bigoplus_{p \geq 1} H^{\otimes p}_{n_i},$$

where $H^{\otimes p}_{n_i}$ is the (Hilbert) tensor product of p copies of H_{n_i}. Set $e^i_\alpha := e^i_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e^i_{j_p}$ if $\alpha = g^i_{j_1} \cdots g^i_{j_p} \in \mathbb{F}^+_{n_i}$ and $e^i_{g^i_0} := 1 \in \mathbb{C}$. It is clear that $\{e^i_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}^+_{n_i}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $F^2(H_{n_i})$. We define the

weighted left creation operators $W_{i,j} : F^2(H_{n_i}) \to F^2(H_{n_i})$, associated with the abstract noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_{f_i}^{m_i}$ by setting

$$W_{i,j}e_\alpha^i := \frac{\sqrt{b_{i,\alpha}^{(m_i)}}}{\sqrt{b_{i,g_j^i\alpha}^{(m_i)}}} e_{g_j^i\alpha}^i, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$b_{i,g_0^i}^{(m_i)} := 1 \quad \text{and} \quad b_{i,\alpha}^{(m_i)} := \sum_{p=1}^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_p \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \\ \gamma_1\cdots\gamma_p=\alpha \\ |\gamma_1|\geq 1,\ldots,|\gamma_p|\geq 1}} a_{i,\gamma_1}\cdots a_{i,\gamma_p} \binom{p+m_i-1}{m_i-1} \tag{2.2}$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+$ with $|\alpha| \geq 1$. For each $i \in \{1,\ldots,k\}$ and $j \in \{1,\ldots,n_i\}$, we define the operator $\mathbf{W}_{i,j}$ acting on the tensor Hilbert space $F^2(H_{n_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes F^2(H_{n_k})$ by setting

$$\mathbf{W}_{i,j} := \underbrace{I \otimes \cdots \otimes I}_{i-1 \text{ times}} \otimes W_{i,j} \otimes \underbrace{I \otimes \cdots \otimes I}_{k-i \text{ times}},$$

where the operators $W_{i,j}$ are defined by relation (2.1). If $\mathbf{W}_i := (\mathbf{W}_{i,1},\ldots,\mathbf{W}_{i,n_i})$, then $\mathbf{W} := (\mathbf{W}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{W}_k)$ is a pure k-tuple, i.e. $\phi_{f_i,\mathbf{W}_i}^s(I) \to 0$ weakly as $s \to \infty$, in the noncommutative polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\otimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i}))$. The k-tuple $\mathbf{W}$ is the *universal model* associated with the abstract noncommutative polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$ (see [27]).

In what follows, we introduce a generalized noncommutative Berezin kernel associated with any *compatible quadruple* $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{A}, R)$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $\mathbf{f} := (f_1,\ldots,f_k)$ is a k-tuple of positive regular free holomorphic functions with $f_i := \sum_{\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+} a_{i,\alpha} Z_{i,\alpha}$ and $\mathbf{m} = (m_1,\ldots,m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$;

(ii) $\mathbf{A} := (A_1,\ldots,A_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, where $A_i := (A_{i,1},\ldots,A_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$, has the property that $\sum_{\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+} a_{i,\alpha} A_{i,\alpha} A_{i,\alpha}^*$ is weakly convergent;

(iii) $R \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a positive operator such that

$$\sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1} \cdots \binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k}(R) \leq bI,$$

for some constant $b > 0$, where

$$\Phi_{f_i,A_i}(X) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+} a_{i,\alpha} A_{i,\alpha} X A_{i,\alpha}^*, \qquad X \in B(\mathcal{H}).$$

The *generalized noncommutative Berezin kernel* associated with a compatible quadruple $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{A}, R)$ is the operator

$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^R : \mathcal{H} \to F^2(H_{n_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes F^2(H_{n_k}) \otimes \overline{R^{1/2}(\mathcal{H})}$$

defined by

$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^R h := \sum_{\beta_i \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+, i=1,\ldots,k} \sqrt{b_{1,\beta_1}^{(m_1)}} \cdots \sqrt{b_{k,\beta_k}^{(m_k)}} e_{\beta_1}^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\beta_k}^k \otimes R^{1/2} A_{1,\beta_1}^* \cdots A_{k,\beta_k}^* h,$$

where the coefficients $b_{1,\beta_1}^{(m_1)},\ldots,b_{k,\beta_k}^{(m_k)}$ are given by relation (2.2). The fact that $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^R$ is a well-defined bounded operator will be proved in the next theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *The generalized Berezin kernel associated with any compatible quadruple* $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{A}, R)$ *has the following properties.*

(i) $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^R$ *is a bounded operator and*

$$(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^R)^* \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^R = \sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1} \cdots \binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k}(R),$$

where the convergence is in the weak operator topology.

(ii) *For any* $i \in \{1,\ldots,k\}$ *and* $j \in \{1,\ldots,n_i\}$,

$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^R A_{i,j}^* = (\mathbf{W}_{i,j}^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{R}}) \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^R,$$

where $\mathcal{R} := \overline{R^{1/2}\mathcal{H}}$ *and* $\mathbf{W} = \{\mathbf{W}_{i,j}\}$ *is the universal model associated with the abstract noncommutative polydomain* $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}$.

Proof. Rearranging WOT-convergent series of positive operators, we deduce that, for each $d \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{f_i,A_i}^d(R) &= \sum_{\alpha_1\in\mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+,|\alpha_1|\geq 1} a_{i,\alpha_1} A_{i,\alpha_1} \left(\cdots \sum_{\alpha_d\in\mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+,|\alpha_d|\geq 1} a_{i,\alpha_d} A_{i,\alpha_d} R A_{i,\alpha_d}^* \cdots \right) A_{i,\alpha_1}^* \\ &= \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+,|\gamma|\geq d} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_d\in\mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \\ \alpha_1\cdots\alpha_d=\gamma \\ |\alpha_1|\geq 1,\ldots,|\alpha_d|\geq 1}} a_{i,\alpha_1}\cdots a_{i,\alpha_d} A_{i,\gamma} R A_{i,\gamma}^*\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Lambda_i^{[1]}(R) := \sum_{s=0}^\infty \Phi_{f_i,A_i}^s(R) = R + \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+,|\gamma|\geq 1} \left(\sum_{d=1}^{|\gamma|} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_d\in\mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \\ \alpha_1\cdots\alpha_d=\gamma \\ |\alpha_1|\geq 1,\ldots,|\alpha_d|\geq 1}} a_{i,\alpha_1}\cdots a_{i,\alpha_d} \right) A_{i,\gamma} R A_{i,\gamma}^*.$$

Since $\Lambda_i^{[j]}(R) := \sum_{s=0}^\infty \Phi_{f_i,A_i}^s(\Lambda_i^{[j-1]}(R))$ for $j = 2,\ldots,p_i$, using a combinatorial argument and rearranging WOT-convergent series of positive operators, one can prove by induction over p_i that

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_i^{[p_i]}(R) &= R + \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+,|\alpha|\geq 1} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_p\in\mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \\ \gamma_1\cdots\gamma_p=\alpha \\ |\gamma_1|\geq 1,\ldots,|\gamma_p|\geq 1}} a_{i,\gamma_1}\cdots a_{i,\gamma_p} \binom{p+p_i-1}{p_i-1} \right) A_{i,\alpha} R A_{i,\alpha}^* \\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+} b_{i,\alpha}^{(p_i)} A_{i,\alpha} R A_{i,\alpha}^*.\end{aligned}$$

Now, using the results above, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^R h\|^2 &= \sum_{\beta_k\in\mathbb{F}_{n_k}} \cdots \sum_{\beta_1\in\mathbb{F}_{n_1}} b_{1,\beta_1}^{(m_1)} \cdots b_{k,\beta_k}^{(m_k)} \left\langle A_{k,\beta_k}\cdots A_{1,\beta_1} R A_{1,\beta_1}^*\cdots A_{k,\beta_k}^* h, h \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle (\Lambda_k^{[m_k]} \circ \cdots \circ \Lambda_1^{[m_1]})(R) h, h \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{(s_k^{(1)},\ldots,s_k^{(m_k)})\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{m_k}} \cdots \sum_{(s_1^{(1)},\ldots,s_1^{(m_1)})\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{m_1}} \left\langle \left[\phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k^{(1)}+\cdots+s_k^{(m_k)}} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1^{(1)}+\cdots+s_1^{(m_1)}}(R) \right] h, h \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1} \cdots \binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \left\langle \left(\Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k}(R) \right) h, h \right\rangle \leq bI\end{aligned}$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$. This proves item (i). To prove part (ii), note that

$$W_{i,j}^* e_{\beta_i}^i = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{b_{i,\gamma_i}^{(m_i)}}}{\sqrt{b_{i,\beta_i}^{(m_i)}}} e_{\gamma_i}^i & \text{if } \beta_i = g_j^i \gamma_i,\ \ \gamma_i \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

for any $\beta_i \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_i\}$. Hence, and using the definition of the generalized noncommutative Berezin kernel, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\mathbf{W}_{i,j}^* \otimes I)\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^R h \\
&= \sum_{\beta_p \in \mathbb{F}_{n_p}^+, p \in \{1,\ldots,k\}} \sqrt{b_{1,\beta_1}^{(m_1)}} \cdots \sqrt{b_{k,\beta_k}^{(m_k)}} e_{\beta_1}^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\beta_{i-1}}^{i-1} \otimes W_{i,j}^* e_{\beta_i}^i \otimes e_{\beta_{i+1}}^{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\beta_k}^k \otimes R^{1/2} A_{1,\beta_1}^* \cdots A_{k,\beta_k}^* h \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\beta_p \in \mathbb{F}_{n_p}^+, p \in \{1,\ldots,k\}\setminus\{i\} \\ \gamma_i \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}}} \sqrt{b_{1,\beta_1}^{(m_1)}} \cdots \sqrt{b_{i,\gamma_i}^{(m_i)}} \cdots \sqrt{b_{k,\beta_k}^{(m_k)}} e_{\beta_1}^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\beta_{i-1}}^{i-1} \otimes e_{\gamma_i}^i \otimes e_{\beta_{i+1}}^{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\beta_k}^k \\
&\qquad \otimes R^{1/2} A_{1,\beta_1}^* \cdots A_{i-1,\beta_i}^* A_{i,g_j^i \gamma_i}^* A_{i+1,\beta_{i+1}}^* \cdots A_{k,\beta_k}^* h
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Using the commutativity of the tuples $A_1, \ldots, A_k$, we deduce that

$$(\mathbf{W}_{i,j}^* \otimes I)\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^R = \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^R A_{i,j}^*$$

for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_i\}$. The proof is complete. □

For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, let $Z_i := (Z_{i,1}, \ldots, Z_{i,n_i})$ be an n_i-tuple of noncommuting indeterminates and assume that, for any $s, t \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $s \neq t$, the entries in Z_s are commuting with the entries in Z_t. The algebra of all polynomials in indeterminates $Z_{i,j}$ is denoted by $\mathbb{C}\langle Z_{i,j}\rangle$. If $\mathcal{Q}$ is a left ideal of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}\langle Z_{i,j}\rangle$, we define the noncommutative variety

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H}) := \{\mathbf{X} = \{X_{i,j}\} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H}) : \ g(\mathbf{X}) = 0 \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{Q}\}.$$

Consider the subspace

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}} := \overline{\text{span}}\{\mathbf{W}_{(\alpha)} q(\mathbf{W}_{i,j}) \mathbf{W}_{(\beta)}(\mathbb{C}) : \ (\alpha), (\beta) \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+, q \in \mathcal{Q}\},$$

where $\mathbf{W}_{(\alpha)} := \mathbf{W}_{1,\alpha_1} \cdots \mathbf{W}_{k,\alpha_k}$ if $(\alpha) = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)$, and let

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}} := [\bigotimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i})] \ominus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}.$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}} \neq \{0\}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is invariant under each operator $\mathbf{W}_{i,j}^*$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_i\}$. Define $\mathbf{S}_{i,j} := P_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}}} \mathbf{W}_{i,j}|_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}}}$, where $P_{\mathcal{N}_Q}$ is the orthogonal projection of $\bigotimes_{i=1}^k F^2(H_{n_i})$ onto $\mathcal{N}_Q$. The k-tuple $\mathbf{S} := (\mathbf{S}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{S}_k)$, where $\mathbf{S}_i := (\mathbf{S}_{i,1} \ldots, \mathbf{S}_{i,n_i})$, is in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}})$ and plays the role of *universal model* for the *abstract noncommutative variety*

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}} := \{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H}) : \ \mathcal{H} \text{ is a Hilbert space}\}.$$

Let $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{A}, R)$ be a compatible quadruple. In addition, we assume that the k-tuple $\mathbf{A} := (A_1, \ldots, A_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, where $A_i := (A_{i,1}, \ldots, A_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$, has the property that

$$q(\mathbf{A}) = 0, \qquad q \in \mathcal{Q}.$$

Under these conditions, the tuple $\omega := (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{A}, R, \mathcal{Q})$ is called compatible. We define the *(constrained) noncommutative Berezin kernel* associated with the tuple ω to be the operator $\mathbf{K}_\omega : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}} \otimes \overline{R^{1/2}\mathcal{H}}$ given by

$$\mathbf{K}_\omega := (P_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}}} \otimes I_{\overline{R^{1/2}\mathcal{H}}}) \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^R,$$

where $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^R$ is the generalized Berezin kernel associated with the quadruple $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{A}, R)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathbf{K}_\omega$ be the constrained noncommutative Berezin kernel associated with a compatible tuple $\omega := (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{A}, R, \mathcal{Q})$. Then*

$$\mathbf{K}_\omega A_{i,j}^* = (\mathbf{S}_{i,j}^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{R}}) \mathbf{K}_\omega, \qquad i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}, j \in \{1, \ldots, n_i\},$$

where $\mathcal{R} := \overline{R^{1/2}(\mathcal{H})}$ *and* $\mathbf{S} = \{\mathbf{S}_{i,j}\}$ *is the universal model associated with the abstract noncommutative variety* $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}$*. Moreover,*

$$\mathbf{K}_\omega^* \, \mathbf{K}_\omega = \sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1} \cdots \binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k}(R),$$

where the convergence is in the weak operator topology.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^R A_{i,j}^* = (\mathbf{W}_{i,j}^* \otimes I)\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^R$ for any $i \in \{1,\ldots,k\}$ and $j \in \{1,\ldots,n_i\}$, we deduce that

$$\left\langle \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^R x, q(\mathbf{W}_{i,j})\mathbf{W}_{(\alpha)}(1)\otimes y\right\rangle = \left\langle x, q(\mathbf{A})A_{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^R)^*(1\otimes y)\right\rangle = 0$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$, $y \in \overline{R^{1/2}\mathcal{H}}$, $(\alpha) \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+$, and any polynomial $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Consequently,

$$\operatorname{range} \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^R \subseteq \mathcal{N}_Q \otimes \overline{R^{1/2}\mathcal{H}}.$$

Taking into account the definition of the constrained Berezin kernel $\mathbf{K}_\omega : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}} \otimes \overline{R^{1/2}\mathcal{H}}$, one can use Theorem 2.1 to complete the proof. □

Remark 2.3. *If* $n_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ *for* $i \in \{1,\ldots,k\}$*, all the results of this section remain true under the additional assumption that*

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+, |\alpha|=p} |a_{i,\alpha}|^2 < \infty, \qquad \text{if } \ n_i = \infty,$$

for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$*.*

Lemma 2.4. *Let* $\mathbf{A} = (A_1,\ldots,A_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$ *be such that* Φ_{f_i,A_i} *is power bounded for any* $i \in \{1,\ldots,k\}$*, and let* $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ *be a positive operator such that* $\Phi_{f_i,A_i}(Y) \le Y$*. If* $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ *and* $\mathbf{m} \neq 0$*, then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $Y \in \mathcal{C}_{\ge}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$*;*
(ii) $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},r\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}}(Y) \ge 0$ *for any* $r \in [0,1]$*;*
(iii) *there exists* $\delta \in (0,1)$ *such that* $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},r\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}}(Y) \ge 0$ *for any* $r \in (\delta,1)$*;*

Proof. To prove that (i) implies (ii), we apply Proposition 1.1 when $\varphi_i = \Phi_{f_i,A_i}$ and $\psi_i = \Phi_{f_i,rA_i}$. Since the implication (ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) is obvious, it remains to prove that (iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i).

Assume that there exists $\delta \in (0,1)$ such that $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},r\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}}(Y) \ge 0$ for any $r \in (\delta,1)$. Since Φ_{f_i,rA_i} is power bounded and $\Phi_{f_i,rA_i}^s(I) \le r^s \Phi_{f_i,A_i}^s(I)$, it is clear that $\Phi_{f_i,rA_i}^s(I) \to 0$ weakly as $s \to \infty$ for each $i \in \{1,\ldots,k\}$. Applying Proposition 1.1 part (ii), we deduce that $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},r\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Y) \ge 0$ for any $r \in (\delta,1)$ and any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \le \mathbf{m}$. Note that $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},r\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Y)$ is a linear combination of products of the form $\Phi_{f_1,rA_1}^{q_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,rA_k}^{q_k}(Y)$, where $(q_1,\ldots,q_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$, and

$$\Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{q_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{q_k}(Y) = \text{WOT-}\lim_{j\to\infty} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_i\in\mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \\ |\alpha_1|+\cdots+|\alpha_k|\le j}} c_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k} A_{1,\alpha_1}\cdots A_{k,\alpha_k} Y A_{k,\alpha_k}^* \cdots A_{1,\alpha_1}^* \le Y$$

for some positive constants $c_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k}$. If $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, then there is $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha_i\in\mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \\ |\alpha_1|+\cdots+|\alpha_k|\ge j}} c_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k} r^{2(|\alpha_1|+\cdots+|\alpha_k|)} \left\langle A_{1,\alpha_1}\cdots A_{k,\alpha_k} Y A_{k,\alpha_k}^* \cdots A_{1,\alpha_1}^* x, x\right\rangle < \epsilon$$

for any $j \ge N_0$ and $r \in (\delta,1)$. One can use this fact to show that

$$\Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{q_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{q_k}(Y) = \text{WOT-}\lim_{r\to 1} \Phi_{f_1,rA_1}^{q_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,rA_k}^{q_k}(Y).$$

Hence, we deduce that $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Y) = \text{WOT-}\lim_{r\to 1} \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},r\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Y) \ge 0$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \le \mathbf{m}$. This completes the proof. □

We introduce now the *constrained noncommutative Berezin transform* $\mathbf{B}_\omega$ associated with a compatible tuple $\omega := (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{A}, R, \mathcal{Q})$ to be the operator $\mathbf{B}_\omega : B(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ given by

$$\mathbf{B}_\omega[\chi] := \mathbf{K}_\omega^*[\chi \otimes I_\mathcal{R}]\mathbf{K}_\omega, \qquad \chi \in B(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}).$$

where $\mathbf{K}_\omega$ is the constrained noncommutative Berezin kernel introduced in Section 2, and $\mathcal{R} := \overline{R^{1/2}(\mathcal{H})}$. Now, we are ready to show that the elements of the noncommutative cone $C_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of a class of extended noncommutative Berezin transforms.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbf{D}_\mathbf{f}^\mathbf{m}$ be an abstract noncommutative variety, where $\mathcal{Q}$ is a family of noncommutative homogeneous polynomials in indeterminates $\{Z_{i,j}\}$, and let $\mathbf{S} = \{\mathbf{S}_{i,j}\}$ be its universal model. If $\mathbf{A} := (A_1, \ldots, A_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, where $A_i := (A_{i,1}, \ldots, A_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$ has the property that Φ_{f_i,A_i} is well-defined and $q(\mathbf{A}) = 0$ for any $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, then there is a bijection*

$$\Gamma : CP(A, \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q}) \to C_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}})^+, \qquad \Gamma(\varphi) := \varphi(I),$$

where $CP(A, \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q})$ is the set of all completely positive linear maps $\varphi : \mathcal{S} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\varphi(\mathbf{S}_{(\alpha)}\mathbf{S}_{(\beta)}^*) = A_{(\alpha)}\varphi(I)A_{(\beta)}^*, \qquad (\alpha), (\beta) \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+,$$

where $\mathcal{S} := \overline{\text{span}}\{\mathbf{S}_{(\alpha)}\mathbf{S}_{(\beta)}^* : \ (\alpha), (\beta) \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+\}$. Moreover, if $D \in C_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$, then $\Gamma^{-1}(D)$ coincides with the extended noncommutative Berezin transform associated with $\omega := (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{A}, R, \mathcal{Q})$ which is defined by

$$\overline{\mathbf{B}}_\omega[\chi] := \lim_{r\to 1} \mathbf{K}_{\omega_r}^*(\chi \otimes I)\mathbf{K}_{\omega_r}, \qquad \chi \in \mathcal{S},$$

where $\omega_r := (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}, r\mathbf{A}, R_r, \mathcal{Q})$ and $R_r := \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},r\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}}(D)$, $r \in [0, 1]$, and the limit exists in the operator norm topology.

Proof. Assume that $\varphi : \mathcal{S} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a completely positive map such that

$$\varphi(\mathbf{S}_{(\alpha)}\mathbf{S}_{(\beta)}^*) = A_{(\alpha)}\varphi(I)A_{(\beta)}^*, \qquad (\alpha), (\beta) \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+.$$

Let $\mathbf{W} := \{\mathbf{W}_{i,j}\}$ be the universal model associated with the abstract noncommutative polydomain $\mathbf{D}_\mathbf{f}^\mathbf{m}$. Since $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{p}}(I) \geq 0$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$, and $\mathcal{N}_Q$ is invariant under each operator $\mathbf{W}_{i,j}^*$, we also have $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{p}}(I) \geq 0$. Due to Lemma 2.4, we deduce that $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},r\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{p}}(I) \geq 0$ for any $r \in [0, 1)$ and $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$. Let $f_i := \sum_{\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+} a_{i,\alpha} Z_{i,\alpha}$ and note that $\Phi_{f_i,r\mathbf{S}_i}(I) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+, |\alpha_i|=k} a_{i,\alpha_i} r^{|\alpha_i|} \mathbf{S}_{i,\alpha_i}\mathbf{S}_{i,\alpha_i}^* \leq I$, where the convergence is in the operator norm topology. Consequently, $\Phi_{f_i,r\mathbf{S}_i}(I) \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},r\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{p}}(I) \in \mathcal{S}$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$.

Setting $D := \varphi(I)$, we deduce that $D \geq 0$ and

$$\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},r\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{p}}(D) = \varphi\left(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},r\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{p}}(I)\right) \geq 0, \qquad r \in [0, 1),$$

for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$. Since the series $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+, |\alpha_i| \geq 1} a_{i,\alpha_i} A_{i,\alpha_i} A_{i,\alpha_i}^*$ is weakly convergent, we deduce that $\Phi_{f_i,A_i}^s(D) = \text{WOT-}\lim_{r\to 1} \Phi_{f_i,rA_i}^s(D)$ for $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and, moreover,

$$\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{p}}(D) = \text{WOT-}\lim_{r\to 1} \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},r\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{p}}(D) \geq 0$$

for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$. This shows that $D \in C_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$.

To prove that Γ is one-to-one, let φ_1 and φ_2 be completely positive linear maps on the operator system $\mathcal{S}$ such that $\varphi_j(\mathbf{S}_{(\alpha)}\mathbf{S}_{(\beta)}^*) = A_{(\alpha)}\varphi_j(I)A_{(\beta)}^*$ for any $(\alpha), (\beta) \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+$ and $j = 1, 2$. Assume that $\Gamma(\varphi_1) = \Gamma(\varphi_2)$, i.e., $\varphi_1(I) = \varphi_2(I)$. Then we have $\varphi_1(\mathbf{S}_{(\alpha)}\mathbf{S}_{(\beta)}^*) = \varphi_2(\mathbf{S}_{(\alpha)}\mathbf{S}_{(\beta)}^*)$ for $(\alpha), (\beta) \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+$. Taking into account the continuity of φ_1 and φ_2 in the operator norm, we deduce that $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$.

To prove surjectivity of the map Γ, fix $D \in C_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$. Then $D \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a positive operator with the property that $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},r\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{p}}(D) \geq 0$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$. Since the set $\mathcal{Q}$ consists of homogeneous noncommutative polynomials in indeterminates $Z_{i,j}$, we have $q(\{rA_{i,j}\}) = 0$ for any

$q \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $r \in [0,1)$. We show now that, for each $r \in [0,1)$, the tuple $\omega_r := (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}, r\mathbf{A}, R_r, \mathcal{Q})$, where $R_r := \mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f}, r\mathbf{A}}(D)$, is compatible. Indeed, we can use Theorem 1.2 to obtain

$$D = \sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1} \cdots \binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \phi^{s_1}_{f_1, rA_1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi^{s_k}_{f_k, rA_k}(R_r), \qquad r \in [0,1). \tag{2.4}$$

where the convergence of the series is in the weak operator topology. According to Theorem 2.2, the constrained noncommutative Berezin kernel $\mathbf{K}_{\omega_r}$, $r \in [0,1)$, associated with the compatible tuple $\omega_r := (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}, r\mathbf{A}, R_r, \mathcal{Q})$, has the property that

$$\mathbf{K}_{\omega_r}(rA^*_{i,j}) = (\mathbf{S}^*_{i,j} \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})\mathbf{K}_{\omega_r}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\mathbf{S} = \{\mathbf{S}_{i,j}\}$ is the universal model associated with the abstract noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}$. Moreover,

$$\mathbf{K}^*_{\omega_r}\mathbf{K}_{\omega_r} = \sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1} \cdots \binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \Phi^{s_1}_{f_1, rA_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi^{s_k}_{f_k, rA_k}(R_r),$$

where the convergence is in the weak operator topology. Hence, and using relation (2.4), we obtain

$$\mathbf{K}^*_{\omega_r}\mathbf{K}_{\omega_r} = D, \qquad r \in [0,,1). \tag{2.6}$$

For each $r \in [0,1)$ define the operator $\mathbf{B}_{\omega_r} : \mathcal{S} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ by setting

$$\mathbf{B}_{\omega_r}(\chi) := \mathbf{K}^*_{\omega_r}(\chi \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})\mathbf{K}_{\omega_r}, \qquad \chi \in \mathcal{S}. \tag{2.7}$$

Using relation (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$\mathbf{K}^*_{\omega_r}(\mathbf{S}_{(\alpha)}\mathbf{S}^*_{(\beta)} \otimes I)\mathbf{K}_{\omega_r} = r^{|(\alpha)|+|(\beta)|} A_{(\alpha)} D A^*_{(\beta)}, \qquad (\alpha), (\beta) \in \mathbb{F}^+_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}^+_{n_k},\ r \in [0,1), \tag{2.8}$$

where $|(\alpha)| := |\alpha_1| + \cdots + |\alpha_k|$ if $(\alpha) = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)$. Hence, and due to relations (2.6) and (2.7), we infer that $\mathbf{B}_{\omega_r}$ is a completely positive linear map with $\mathbf{B}_{\omega_r}(I) = D$ and $\|\mathbf{B}_{\omega_r}\| = \|D\|$ for $r \in [0,1)$.

Now, we show that $\lim_{r\to 1} \mathbf{B}_{\omega_r}(\chi)$ exists in the operator norm topology for each $\chi \in \mathcal{S}$. Given a polynomial $p(\mathbf{S}_{i,j}) := \sum_{(\alpha),(\beta)\in\mathbb{F}^+_{n_1}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{F}^+_{n_k}} a_{(\alpha)(\beta)}\mathbf{S}_{(\alpha)}\mathbf{S}^*_{(\beta)}$ in the operator system $\mathcal{S}$, we define

$$p_D(A_{i,j}) := \sum_{(\alpha),(\beta)\in\mathbb{F}^+_{n_1}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{F}^+_{n_k}} a_{(\alpha)(\beta)} A_{(\alpha)} D A^*_{(\beta)}.$$

The definition is correct since, according to relation (2.8), we have the following von Neumman type inequality

$$\|p_D(A_{i,j})\| \leq \|D\| \|p(\mathbf{S}_{i,j})\|. \tag{2.9}$$

Now, fix $\chi \in \mathcal{S}$ and let $\{p^{(s)}(\mathbf{S}_{i,j})\}_{s=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of polynomials in $\mathcal{S}$ convergent to χ in the operator norm topology. Define the operator

$$\chi_D(A_{i,j}) := \lim_{s\to\infty} p^{(s)}_D(A_{i,j}). \tag{2.10}$$

Taking into account relation (2.9), one can see that the operator $\chi_D(A_{i,j})$ is well-defined and $\|\chi_D(A_{i,j})\| \leq \|D\|\|\chi\|$. Due to relation (2.8), we have $\|p^{(s)}_D(rA_{i,j})\| \leq \|D\|\|p^{(s)}(\mathbf{S}_{i,j})\|$, for any $r \in [0,,1)$. Taking into account that $\mathbf{B}_{\omega_r}$ is a bounded linear operator and using again relation (2.8), we obtain

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} p^{(s)}_D(rA_{i,j}) = \lim_{s\to\infty} \mathbf{K}^*_{\omega_r}(p^{(s)}(\mathbf{S}_{i,j}) \otimes I)\mathbf{K}_{\omega_r} = \mathbf{B}_{\omega_r}[\chi], \tag{2.11}$$

for any $r \in [0,1)$. Based on relations (2.10), (2.11), the fact that $\|\chi - p^{(s)}(\mathbf{S}_{i,j})\| \to 0$ as $s \to \infty$, and

$$\lim_{r\to 1} p^{(s)}_D(rA_{i,j}) = p^{(s)}_D(A_{i,j}),$$

we can deduce that $\lim_{r\to 1} \mathbf{B}_{\omega_r}[\chi] = \chi_D(A_{i,j})$ in the norm topology. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\chi_D(A_{i,j}) - \mathbf{B}_{\omega_r}[\chi]\| &\leq \|\chi_D(A_{i,j}) - p^{(s)}_D(A_{i,j})\| + \|p^{(s)}_D(A_{i,j}) - \mathbf{B}_{\omega_r}(p^{(s)})\| + \|\mathbf{B}_{\omega_r}(p^{(k)}) - \mathbf{B}_{\omega_r}(\chi)\| \\ &\leq \|\chi - p^{(s)}(\mathbf{S}_{i,j})\|\|D\| + \|p^{(s)}_D(A_{i,j}) - p^{(s)}_D(rA_{i,j})\| + \|\chi - p^{(s)}(\mathbf{S}_{i,j})\|\|D\|.\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that $\mathbf{B}_{\omega_r}$ is a completely positive linear map for any $r \in [0,1)$ and using relation (2.8), we infer that

$$\overline{\mathbf{B}}_\omega[\chi] := \lim_{r\to 1} \mathbf{K}_{\omega_r}^*(\chi \otimes I)\mathbf{K}_{\omega_r}, \qquad \chi \in \mathcal{S},$$

is a completely positive map such that $\overline{\mathbf{B}}_\omega(I) = D$ and $\overline{\mathbf{B}}_\omega(\mathbf{S}_{(\alpha)}\mathbf{S}_{(\beta)}^*) = A_{(\alpha)}\overline{\mathbf{B}}_\omega(I)A_{(\beta)}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. The proof is complete. □

We recall that the variety algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q})$ is the non-self-adjoint norm closed algebra generated by universal model $\{\mathbf{S}_{i,j}\}$ and the identity. As a consequence of Theorem 2.5, we can obtain the following extension of the noncommutative von Neumann inequality (see [33], [20], [21], [24], [25]).

Corollary 2.6. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.5, if $D \in C_\geq(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$, then*

$$\left\| \sum_{(\alpha),(\beta)\in\Lambda} A_{(\alpha)} D A_{(\beta)}^* \otimes C_{(\alpha),(\beta)} \right\| \leq \|D\| \left\| \sum_{(\alpha),(\beta)\in\Lambda} \mathbf{S}_{(\alpha)}\mathbf{S}_{(\beta)}^* \otimes C_{(\alpha),(\beta)} \right\|$$

for any finite set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+$ and $C_{(\alpha),(\beta)} \in B(\mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a Hilbert space. If, in addition, D is an invertible operator, then the map $u : \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$u(q(\mathbf{S})) := q(\mathbf{A}), \qquad q \in \mathbb{C}\langle Z_{i,j}\rangle,$$

is completely bounded and $\|u\|_{cb} \leq \|D^{-1/2}\|\|D^{1/2}\|$.

Proof. Note that relation (2.8) implies

$$(\mathbf{K}_{\omega_r}^* \otimes I_\mathcal{E})(\mathbf{S}_{(\alpha)}\mathbf{S}_{(\beta)}^* \otimes I \otimes C_{(\alpha),(\beta)})(\mathbf{K}_{\omega_r} \otimes I_\mathcal{E}) = r^{|(\alpha)|+|(\beta)|} A_{(\alpha)} D A_{(\beta)}^* \otimes C_{(\alpha),(\beta)}$$

for any $(\alpha),(\beta) \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+$ and $r \in [0,1)$. Taking into account that $\mathbf{K}_{\omega_r}^*\mathbf{K}_{\omega_r} = D$ for $r \in [0,1)$, one can easily deduce the von Neumann type inequality. Now, assume that D is invertible. Then the first part of this corollary implies

$$\begin{aligned}\|q(\mathbf{A})\|^2 &\leq \|D^{-1/2}\|^2\|q(\mathbf{A})D^{1/2}\|^2 = \|D^{-1/2}\|^2\|q(\mathbf{A})Dq(\mathbf{A})^*\| \\ &\leq \|D^{-1/2}\|^2\|D\|\|q(\mathbf{S})q(\mathbf{S})^*\| = \|D^{-1/2}\|^2\|D^{1/2}\|^2\|q(\mathbf{S})\|^2\end{aligned}$$

for any noncommutative polynomial q in indeterminates $\{Z_{i,j}\}$. A similar result holds if we pass to matrices. Therefore, we deduce that u is completely bounded with $\|u\|_{cb} \leq \|D^{-1/2}\|\|D^{1/2}\|$. The proof is complete. □

In what follows, we study the noncommutative cone $C_\geq^{pure}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$ of all pure solutions of the operator inequalities $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{p}}(X) \geq 0$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbf{D}_\mathbf{f}^\mathbf{m}$ be an abstract noncommutative variety, where $\mathcal{Q}$ is a family of noncommutative polynomials in indeterminates $\{Z_{i,j}\}$ such that $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q} \neq \{0\}$, and let $\mathbf{S} = \{\mathbf{S}_{i,j}\}$ be its universal model. If $\mathbf{A} := (A_1, \ldots, A_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, where $A_i := (A_{i,1}, \ldots, A_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$ has the property that Φ_{f_i,A_i} is well-defined and $q(\mathbf{A}) = 0$ for any $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, then there is a bijection*

$$\Gamma : CP^{w^*}(A, \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q}) \to C_\geq^{pure}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}})^+, \qquad \Gamma(\varphi) := \varphi(I),$$

where $CP^{w^*}(A, \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q})$ is the set of all w^*-continuous completely positive linear maps $\varphi : \mathcal{S}^{w^*} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\varphi(\mathbf{S}_{(\alpha)}\mathbf{S}_{(\beta)}^*) = A_{(\alpha)}\varphi(I)A_{(\beta)}^*, \qquad (\alpha),(\beta) \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+,$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}^{w^*} := \overline{\text{span}}^{w^*}\{\mathbf{S}_{(\alpha)}\mathbf{S}_{(\beta)}^* : \ (\alpha),(\beta) \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+\}.$$

In addition, if $D \in C_\geq^{pure}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$, then $\Gamma^{-1}(D)$ coincides with the constrained noncommutative Berezin transform associated with $\omega := (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{A}, R, \mathcal{Q})$ which is defined by

$$\mathbf{B}_\omega[\chi] := \mathbf{K}_\omega^*(\chi \otimes I)\mathbf{K}_\omega, \qquad \chi \in \mathcal{S},$$

where $\omega := (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{A}, R, \mathcal{Q})$ and $R := \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}}(D)$.

Proof. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{S}^{w^*} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be a w^*-continuous completely positive linear map such that

$$\varphi(\mathbf{S}_{(\alpha)}\mathbf{S}_{(\beta)}^*) = A_{(\alpha)}\varphi(I)A_{(\beta)}^*, \qquad (\alpha), (\beta) \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+.$$

Setting $D := \varphi(I)$ and using the fact that $\Phi_{f_i, r\mathbf{S}_i}(I) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+, |\alpha_i|=k} a_{i,\alpha_i} \mathbf{S}_{i,\alpha_i}\mathbf{S}_{i,\alpha_i}^*$ is SOT convergent, we deduce that

$$\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{p}}(D) = \varphi\left(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{m}}(I)\right) \geq 0$$

$\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$. On the other hand, $\{\Phi_{f_i,\mathbf{S}_i}^s(I)\}_{s=1}^\infty$ is a bounded decreasing sequence of positive operators which converges weakly to 0, as $s \to \infty$. Since $\Phi_{f_i,A_i}^s(D) = \varphi(\Phi_{f_i,\mathbf{S}_i}^s(I))$ for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{\Phi_{f_i,A_i}^k(D)\}_{s=1}^\infty$ is also a bounded decreasing sequence of positive operators which converges weakly, as $s \to \infty$. Taking into account that φ is continuous in the w^*-topology, which coincides with the weak operator topology on bounded sets, we deduce that $\Phi_{f_i,A_i}^s(D) \to 0$ weakly, as $s \to \infty$. Therefore, $D \in C_{\geq}^{pure}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$.

To prove that Γ is one-to-one, let φ_1 and φ_2 be w^*-continuous completely positive linear maps on $\mathcal{S}^{w^*}$ such that $\varphi_j(\mathbf{S}_{(\alpha)}\mathbf{S}_{(\beta)}^*) = A_{(\alpha)}\varphi_j(I)A_{(\beta)}^*$ for any $(\alpha), (\beta) \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+$ and $j = 1, 2$. Assume that $\Gamma(\varphi_1) = \Gamma(\varphi_2)$, i.e., $\varphi_1(I) = \varphi_2(I)$. Then we have $\varphi_1(\mathbf{S}_{(\alpha)}\mathbf{S}_{(\beta)}^*) = \varphi_2(\mathbf{S}_{(\alpha)}\mathbf{S}_{(\beta)}^*)$ for $(\alpha), (\beta) \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+$. Since φ_1 and φ_2 are w^*-continuous, we deduce that $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$.

To prove that Γ is a surjective map, let $D \in C_{\geq}^{pure}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$ be fixed. Due to Lemma 2.2, the constrained noncommutative Berezin kernel $\mathbf{K}_\omega$ associated with a compatible tuple $\omega := (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{A}, R, \mathcal{Q})$ satisfies the equation

$$\mathbf{K}_\omega A_{i,j}^* = (\mathbf{S}_{i,j}^* \otimes I_\mathcal{H})\mathbf{K}_\omega, \tag{2.12}$$

where $\mathbf{S} = \{\mathbf{S}_{i,j}\}$ is the universal model associated with the abstract noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q}$. Moreover,

$$\mathbf{K}_\omega^* \mathbf{K}_\omega = \sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1} \cdots \binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k}(R),$$

where $R := \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}}(D)$ and the convergence is in the weak operator topology. Using Theorem 1.2, we obtain

$$D = \sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1} \cdots \binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k}(R),$$

where the convergence of the series is in the weak operator topology. Consequently, we deduce that $\mathbf{K}_\omega^* \mathbf{K}_\omega = D$. Define the operator $\mathbf{B}_\omega : \mathcal{S}^{w^*} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ by setting

$$\mathbf{B}_\omega(\chi) := \mathbf{K}_\omega^*(\chi \otimes I_\mathcal{H})\mathbf{K}_\omega, \qquad \chi \in \mathcal{S}^{w^*}.$$

Now, due to relation (2.12) it is easy to see that

$$\mathbf{B}_\omega(\mathbf{S}_{(\alpha)}\mathbf{S}_{(\beta)}^*) = \mathbf{K}_\omega^*(\mathbf{S}_{(\alpha)}\mathbf{S}_{(\beta)}^* \otimes I)\mathbf{K}_\omega = A_{(\alpha)}DA_{(\beta)}^*, \qquad (\alpha), (\beta) \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+.$$

Consequently, $\mathbf{B}_\omega \in CP^{w^*}(A, \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q})$ has the required properties. The proof is complete. □

We remark that an operator $D \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is in $C_{\geq}^{pure}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$ if and only if there is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{D}$ and an operator $K : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$D = K^*K \quad \text{and} \quad KA_{i,j}^* = (\mathbf{S}_{i,j}^* \otimes I_\mathcal{D})K, \qquad i \in \{1,\ldots,k\}, j \in \{1,\ldots,n_i\}.$$

Indeed, the direct implication follows if we take K to be the noncommutative Berezin kernel $\mathbf{K}_\omega$. To prove the converse, assume that there is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{D}$ and an operator $K : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$D = K^*K \quad \text{and} \quad KA_{i,j}^* = (\mathbf{S}_{i,j}^* \otimes I_\mathcal{D})K, \qquad i \in \{1,\ldots,k\}, j \in \{1,\ldots,n_i\}.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{p}}(D) = K^*\left[\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{p}}(I) \otimes I_\mathcal{D}\right]K \geq 0$$

for $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$. Since $\Phi_{f_i,A_i}^s(D) = K^*[\Phi_{f_i,\mathbf{S}_i}^s(I) \otimes I_\mathcal{D}]K$, $\|\Phi_{f_i,\mathbf{S}_i}^s(I)\| \leq 1$, and $\Phi_{f_i,\mathbf{S}_i}^s(I) \to 0$ weakly, as $s \to 0$, we deduce that $D \in C_{\geq}^{pure}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$. This proves our assertion.

We should mention that, in Theorem 2.7, the set $\mathcal{Q}$ is of arbitrary noncommutative polynomials with $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q} \neq \{0\}$, while, in Theorem 2.5, $\mathcal{Q}$ consists of homogeneous polynomials.

The proof of the next result is similar to that of Corollary 2.6, so we shall omit it. We recall (see [28]) that $F^\infty(\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q})$ is the WOT-closed algebra generated by all polynomials in $\mathbf{S}_{i,j}$ and the identity.

Corollary 2.8. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.7, if $D \in C^{pure}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}})^+$, then*

$$\left\| \sum_{(\alpha),(\beta)\in\Lambda} A_{(\alpha)} D A^*_{(\beta)} \otimes C_{(\alpha),(\beta)} \right\| \leq \|D\| \left\| \sum_{(\alpha),(\beta)\in\Lambda} \mathbf{S}_{(\alpha)} \mathbf{S}^*_{(\beta)} \otimes C_{(\alpha),(\beta)} \right\|$$

for any finite set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{F}^+_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}^+_{n_k}$ and $C_{(\alpha),(\beta)} \in B(\mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a Hilbert space. If, in addition, D is an invertible operator, then the map $u : F^\infty(\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$u(\varphi) := \mathbf{K}_\omega[\varphi \otimes I_\mathcal{H}]\mathbf{K}_\omega D^{-1}, \qquad \varphi \in F^\infty_n(\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q}),$$

where $\mathbf{K}_\omega$ is the constrained noncommutative Berezin kernel associated with the compatible tuple $\omega := (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{A}, R, \mathcal{Q})$ and $R := \mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}(D)$, is completely bounded and $\|u\|_{cb} \leq \|D^{-1/2}\|\|D^{1/2}\|$.

Our last result of this section is a characterization of the noncommutative cone $C_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}})^+$.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f}}$ be an abstract noncommutative variety, where $\mathcal{Q}$ is a family of noncommutative polynomials in indeterminates $\{Z_{i,j}\}$ such that $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q} \neq \{0\}$, and let $\mathbf{A} := (A_1, \ldots, A_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, where $A_i := (A_{i,1}, \ldots, A_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$, have the property that Φ_{f_i,A_i} is well-defined and $q(\mathbf{A}) = 0$ for any $q \in \mathcal{Q}$.*

Then a positive operator $\Gamma \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is in $C_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}})^+$ if and only if there is a tuple $\mathbf{T} := (T_1, \ldots, T_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, with $T_i := (T_{i,1}, \ldots, T_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$, in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$A_{i,j}\Gamma^{1/2} = \Gamma^{1/2} T_{i,j}, \qquad i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}, j \in \{1, \ldots, n_i\}.$$

In addition, $\Gamma \in C^{pure}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}})^+$ if and only if $I_\mathcal{H} \in C^{pure}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{T}})^+$.

Proof. Assume that $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H})$ and $A_{i,j}\Gamma^{1/2} = \Gamma^{1/2}T_{i,j}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_i\}$. Note that

$$\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}(\Gamma) = \Gamma^{1/2}\left[\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{T}}(I)\right]\Gamma^{1/2} \geq 0$$

for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^k_+$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$. Since $\Phi^s_{f_i,A_i}(\Gamma) = \Gamma^{1/2}\Phi^s_{f_i,T_i}(I)\Gamma^{1/2}$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, we deduce that if $\Phi^s_{f_i,T_i}(I) \to 0$ weakly as $s \to \infty$. Therefore, $\Gamma \in C^{pure}_{\geq}(f, A)^+$.

Now, we prove the converse. Assume that $\Gamma \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is in $C_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}})^+$. Let $f_i := \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}^+_{n_i}} a_{i,\alpha} Z_{i,\alpha}$ and note that

$$\langle \Phi_{f_i,A_i}(\Gamma)x, x\rangle \leq \|\Gamma^{1/2}x\|^2$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Hence, we deduce that $a_{i,g^i_j}\|\Gamma^{1/2}A^*_{i,j}x\|^2 \leq \|\Gamma^{1/2}x\|^2$, for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Recall that $a_{i,g^i_j} \neq 0$, so we can define the operator $\Lambda_{i,j} : \Gamma^{1/2}(\mathcal{H}) \to \Gamma^{1/2}(\mathcal{H})$ by setting

$$\Lambda_{i,j}\Gamma^{1/2}x := \Gamma^{1/2}A^*_{i,j}x, \qquad x \in \mathcal{H}, \tag{2.13}$$

for $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_i\}$. It is obvious that $\Lambda_{i,j}$ can be extended to a bounded operator (also denoted by $\Lambda_{i,j}$) on the subspace $\mathcal{M} := \overline{\Gamma^{1/2}(\mathcal{H})}$. Set $\mathbf{M} = (M_1, \ldots, M_k)$ with $M_i := (M_{i,1}, \ldots, M_{i,n_i})$ and $M_{i,j} := \Lambda^*_{i,j}$, and note that

$$\Gamma^{1/2}\left[\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{M}}(I_\mathcal{M})\right]\Gamma^{1/2} = \mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}(\Gamma) \geq 0$$

for $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^k_+$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$. An approximation argument shows that $\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{M}}(I_\mathcal{M}) \geq 0$. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_i\}$, define $T_{i,j} := M_{i,j} \oplus 0$ with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^\perp$, and note that $\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{T}}(I) \geq 0$. If $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, then relation (2.13) implies $q(\mathbf{M})^*\Gamma^{1/2} = \Gamma^{1/2}q(\mathbf{A})^* = 0$. Hence, $q(\mathbf{M}) = 0$ and, consequently, $q(\mathbf{T}) = 0$ for all $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Therefore, $\mathbf{T} := \{T_{i,j}\} \in \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H})$ and $A_{i,j}\Gamma^{1/2} = \Gamma^{1/2}T_{i,j}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_i\}$.

Assume that $\Gamma \in C^{pure}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}})^+$. Then, for each $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$, $\Phi^s_{f_i,A_i}(\Gamma) \to 0$ weakly, as $s \to \infty$. Taking into account that

$$\left\langle \Phi^s_{f_i,T_i}(I)\Gamma^{1/2}x, \Gamma^{1/2}x \right\rangle = \left\langle \Phi^s_{f_i,A_i}(\Gamma)x, x \right\rangle, \qquad x \in \mathcal{H},$$

we have WOT-$\lim_{s\to\infty} \Phi^s_{f_i,T_i}(I)y = 0$ for any $y \in \text{range } \Gamma^{1/2}$. Since $\|\Phi^s_{f_i,T_i}(I)\| \leq 1$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, an approximation argument shows that WOT-$\lim_{s\to\infty} \Phi^s_{f_i,T_i}(I)y = 0$ for any $y \in \overline{\Gamma^{1/2}(\mathcal{H})}$. Note also that $\Phi^s_{f_i,T_i}(I)z = 0$ for any $z \in \mathcal{M}^\perp$. Consequently, $I_{\mathcal{H}} \in C^{pure}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{T}})^+$. This completes the proof. □

3. Analogues of Rota's similarity results for noncommutative polydomains

Let $\mathbf{f} := (f_1,\dots,f_k)$ be a k-tuple of positive regular free holomorphic functions and let $\mathbf{m} = (m_1,\dots,m_k)$ be in $\mathbb{N}^k$. Consider $\mathbf{A} := (A_1,\dots,A_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times \cdots \times B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, where $A_i := (A_{i,1},\dots,A_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$, to be such that $\Phi_{f_i,A_i}(I)$ is well-defined in the weak operator topology, and let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a set of noncommutative polynomials in indeterminates $\{Z_{i,j}\}$ with $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$ and $j \in \{1,\dots,n_i\}$. Given another tuple $\mathbf{B} := (B_1,\dots,B_k) \in B(\mathcal{K})^{n_1} \times \cdots \times B(\mathcal{K})^{n_k}$, where $B_i := (B_{i,1},\dots,B_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{K})^{n_i}$, we say the $\mathbf{A}$ is jointly similar to $\mathbf{B}$ if there exists an invertible operator $Y : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$A_{i,j} = YB_{i,j}Y^{-1}$$

for all $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$ and $j \in \{1,\dots,n_i\}$.

In this section we provide necessary and sufficient conditions for a tuple $\mathbf{A} = (A_1,\dots,A_k)$ to be jointly similar to a tuple $\mathbf{T} := (T_1,\dots,T_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times \cdots \times B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$ satisfying one of the following properties:

(i) $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H}) := \{\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathcal{H}) : \ q(\mathbf{X}) = 0, q \in \mathcal{Q}\}$;

(ii) $\mathbf{T} \in \Big\{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H}) : \ \mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{X}}(I) > 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p} \neq 0\Big\}$;

(iii) $\mathbf{T}$ is a pure tuple in $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$, i.e. for each $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$, $\Phi^k_{f_i,T_i}(I) \to 0$ weakly as $k \to \infty$.

We show that these similarities are strongly related to the existence of invertible positive solutions of the operator inequalities $\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}(Y) \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}(Y) > 0$.

Let $f = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}^+_n} a_\alpha X_\alpha$, $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, be a positive regular free holomorphic function. For any n-tuple of operators $C := (C_1,\dots,C_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ such that $\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha C_\alpha C^*_\alpha$ is convergent in the weak operator topology, define the joint spectral radius with respect to the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}^m_f$ by setting

$$r_f(C) := \lim_{k\to\infty} \|\Phi^k_{f,C}(I)\|^{1/2k},$$

where the positive linear map $\Phi_{f,C} : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is given by

$$\Phi_{f,C}(X) := \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}^+_n} a_\alpha C_\alpha X C^*_\alpha, \qquad X \in B(\mathcal{H}),$$

and the convergence is in the week operator topology. In the particular case when $f := X_1 + \cdots + X_n$, we obtain the usual definition of the joint spectral radius for n-tuples of noncommuting operators.

Our first result provides necessary conditions for joint similarity to tuples of operators in noncommutative varieties $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$. Since the proof is straightforward, we leave it to the reader.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{f} := (f_1,\dots,f_k)$ be a k-tuple of positive regular free holomorphic functions with*

$$f_i := \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}^+_{n_i}} a_{i,\alpha} X_{i,\alpha}$$

and let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a set of noncommutative polynomials in indeterminates $\{Z_{i,j}\}$, where $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$ and $j \in \{1,\dots,n_i\}$. If $\mathbf{T} := (T_1,\dots,T_k) \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathbf{A} := (A_1,\dots,A_k) \in B(\mathcal{K})^{n_1} \times \cdots \times B(\mathcal{K})^{n_k}$ are two tuples of operators which are jointly similar, then, for each $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$, the following statements hold:

(i) $(A_1,\dots,A_k) \in B(\mathcal{K})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{K})^{n_k}$ *and* $\sum_{\alpha_i\in\mathbb{F}^+_{n_i}} a_{i,\alpha_i} A_{i,\alpha_i} A^*_{i,\alpha_i}$ *is convergent in the weak operator topology;*

(ii) Φ_{f_i,A_i} *is a power bounded completely positive linear map;*
(iii) $r_{f_i}(A_i) \leq 1$*;*
(iv) $q(\mathbf{A}) = 0$ *for all* $q \in \mathcal{Q}$*;*
(v) *if* $\Phi^s_{f_i,T_i}(I) \to 0$ *weakly as* $s \to \infty$*, then* $\Phi^s_{f_i,A_i}(I) \to 0$ *weakly.*

In what follows, we assume that $\mathbf{f} := (f_1, \ldots, f_k)$ is a k-tuple of positive regular free holomorphic functions with $f_i := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^+_{n_i}} a_{i,\alpha} Z_{i,\alpha}$ and $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a set of noncommutative polynomials in indeterminates $\{Z_{i,j}\}$ and let $\mathbf{A} := (A_1, \ldots, A_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, where $A_i := (A_{i,1}, \ldots, A_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$ has the property that $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^+_{n_i}} a_{i,\alpha} A_{i,\alpha} A^*_{i,\alpha}$ is weakly convergent and $q(\mathbf{A}) = 0$ for any $q \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Now, we are ready to provide necessary and sufficient conditions for the joint similarity to parts of the adjoints of the universal model $\mathbf{S} := (\mathbf{S}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{S}_k)$, where $\mathbf{S}_i := (\mathbf{S}_{i,1} \ldots, \mathbf{S}_{i,n_i})$, associated with the abstract noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_Q$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $\mathcal{Q}$ *be a set of noncommutative polynomials in indeterminates* $\{Z_{i,j}\}$*, where* $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ *and* $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_i\}$*, and let* $\mathbf{A} := (A_1, \ldots, A_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$*, where* $A_i := (A_{i,1}, \ldots, A_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$ *has the property that* $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^+_{n_i}} a_{i,\alpha} A_{i,\alpha} A^*_{i,\alpha}$ *is weakly convergent and* $q(\mathbf{A}) = 0$ *for any* $q \in \mathcal{Q}$*. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) *There exists an invertible operator* $Y : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{G}$ *such that*

$$A^*_{i,j} = Y^{-1}[(\mathbf{S}^*_{i,j} \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})|_{\mathcal{G}}]Y$$

for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ *and* $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_i\}$*, where* $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ *is an invariant subspace under each operator* $\mathbf{S}^*_{i,j} \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}$*.*
(ii) *There is an invertible operator* $Q \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}})^+$ *such that* $\Phi^s_{f_i,A_i}(Q) \to 0$ *weakly, as* $s \to \infty$*.*
(iii) *There exist constants* $0 < a \leq b$ *and a positive operator* $R \in B(\mathcal{H})$ *such that*

$$aI \leq \sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k_+} \binom{s_1 + m_1 - 1}{m_1 - 1} \cdots \binom{s_k + m_k - 1}{m_k - 1} \Phi^{s_1}_{f_1,A_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi^{s_k}_{f_k,A_k}(R) \leq bI.$$

Moreover, under the condition (iii), one can choose the invertible operator Y *such that* $\|Y\|\|Y^{-1}\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{b}{a}}$*.*

Proof. We prove that (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume that (i) holds and let $a, b > 0$ be such that $aI \leq Y^*Y \leq bI$. Setting $Q := Y^*Y$ simple calculations reveal that

$$(id - \Phi_{f_1,A_1})^{p_1} \circ \cdots \circ (id - \Phi_{f_k,A_k})^{p_k}(Q) = Y^* \left\{ P_{\mathcal{G}} \left[(id - \Phi_{f_1,\mathbf{S}_1})^{p_1} \circ \cdots \circ (id - \Phi_{f_k,\mathbf{S}_k})^{p_k}(I) \otimes I \right] |_{\mathcal{G}} \right\} Y \geq 0$$

for any $p_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m_i\}$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. Therefore, $Q \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}})^+$. Since $\mathbf{S} := (\mathbf{S}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{S}_k)$ is a pure tuple, we have $\Phi^s_{f_i,\mathbf{S}_i}(I) \to 0$ weakly, as $s \to \infty$. Taking into account that $\Phi^s_{f_i,A_i}(Q) = Y^* \left[P_{\mathcal{G}}(\Phi^s_{f_i,\mathbf{S}_i}(I) \otimes I)|_{\mathcal{G}} \right] Y$ for $s \in \mathbb{N}$, we deduce that $\Phi^s_{f_i,A_i}(Q) \to 0$ weakly as $s \to \infty$. Therefore item (ii) holds.

Now, we prove the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Let $Q \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}})^+$ be an invertible operator such that $\Phi^s_{f_i,A_i}(Q) \to 0$ weakly as $s \to \infty$. Set $R := \mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}(Q)$ and note that, using Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 1.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k_+} \binom{s_1 + m_1 - 1}{m_1 - 1} \cdots \binom{s_k + m_k - 1}{m_k - 1} \Phi^{s_1}_{f_1,A_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi^{s_k}_{f_k,A_k}(R) \\ &\qquad = \lim_{q_k \to \infty} \ldots \lim_{q_1 \to \infty} (id - \Phi^{q_k}_{f_k,A_k}) \circ \cdots \circ (id - \Phi^{q_1}_{f_1,A_1})(Q) = Q \end{aligned}$$

where the convergence of the series is in the weak operator topology. Hence, we deduce item (iii). It remains to show that (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume that item (iii) holds. Let $\mathbf{K}_\omega : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ be the constrained Berezin kernel associated with a compatible tuple $\omega := (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{A}, R, \mathcal{Q})$. According to Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\mathbf{K}_\omega A^*_{i,j} = (\mathbf{S}^*_{i,j} \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})\mathbf{K}_\omega, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathbf{S} = \{\mathbf{S}_{i,j}\}$ is the universal model associated with the abstract noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q}$. Moreover,

$$\mathbf{K}_\omega^* \, \mathbf{K}_\omega = \sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1} \cdots \binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k}(R),$$

where the convergence is in the weak operator topology. Consequently, we have

$$a\|h\|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{K}_\omega h\|^2 \leq b\|h\|^2, \qquad h \in \mathcal{H},$$

and the range of $\mathbf{K}_\omega$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{H}$. Since the operator $Y : \mathcal{H} \to \operatorname{range} \mathbf{K}_\omega$ defined by $Yh := \mathbf{K}_\omega h$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$, is invertible, relation (3.1) implies

$$A_{i,j}^* = Y^{-1}[(\mathbf{S}_{i,j}^* \otimes I_\mathcal{H})|_\mathcal{G}]Y$$

for all $i \in \{1,\ldots,k\}$ and $j \in \{1,\ldots,n_i\}$, where $\mathcal{G} := \operatorname{range} \mathbf{K}_\omega$. This proves (i). The proof is complete. □

We remark that under the conditions of Theorem 3.2, part (iii), one can show that the mapping $\Psi : \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$\Psi(g(\mathbf{S}_{i,j})) := g(A_{i,j}), \qquad g \in \mathbb{C}\langle Z_{i,j}\rangle,$$

is completely bounded with $\|\Psi\|_{cb} \leq \sqrt{\frac{b}{a}}$.

Taking $R = I$ in Theorem 3.2, we can obtain the following analogue of Rota's model theorem, for similarity to tuples of operators in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H})$.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a set of noncommutative polynomials in indeterminates $\{Z_{i,j}\}$, where $i \in \{1,\ldots,k\}$ and $j \in \{1,\ldots,n_i\}$, and let $\mathbf{A} := (A_1,\ldots,A_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, where $A_i := (A_{i,1},\ldots,A_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$ has the property that $\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+} a_{i,\alpha} A_{i,\alpha} A_{i,\alpha}^*$ is weakly convergent and $q(\mathbf{A}) = 0$ for any $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. If*

$$\sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1} \cdots \binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k}(I) \leq bI$$

for some constant $b > 0$, then there exists an invertible operator $Y : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{G}$ such that

$$A_{i,j}^* = Y^{-1}[(\mathbf{S}_{i,j}^* \otimes I_\mathcal{H})|_\mathcal{G}]Y$$

for all $i \in \{1,\ldots,k\}$ and $j \in \{1,\ldots,n_i\}$, where $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is an invariant subspace under each operator $\mathbf{S}_{i,j}^* \otimes I_\mathcal{H}$, and $\mathbf{S} := (\mathbf{S}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{S}_k)$, with $\mathbf{S}_i := (\mathbf{S}_{i,1}\ldots,\mathbf{S}_{i,n_i})$, is the universal model associated with the abstract noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_Q$.

Let $\mathbf{L} := (\mathbf{L}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{L}_k)$, with $\mathbf{L}_i := (\mathbf{L}_{i,1},\ldots,\mathbf{L}_{i,n_i})$, be the universal model associated with the closed noncommutative polyball $[B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1}]_1^- \times \cdots \times [B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}]_1^-$. More precisely, the operator

$$\mathbf{L}_{i,j} := \underbrace{I \otimes \cdots \otimes I}_{i-1 \text{ times}} \otimes L_{i,j} \otimes \underbrace{I \otimes \cdots \otimes I}_{k-i \text{ times}},$$

is acting on the tensor Hilbert space $F^2(H_{n_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes F^2(H_{n_k})$ and $L_{i,j} : F^2(H_{n_i}) \to F^2(H_{n_i})$ is the left creation operator defined by $L_{i,j} e_\alpha^i := e_j^i \otimes e_\alpha^i$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+$. Let $\pi_{\mathbf{L}_i} : \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be the representation defined by $\pi_{\mathbf{L}_i}(\alpha) := \mathbf{L}_{i,\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+$, and let $\pi_\mathbf{L} : \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+ \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be the direct product representation defined by $\sigma(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k) = \pi_{\mathbf{L}_1}(\alpha_1)\cdots\pi_{\mathbf{L}_k}(\alpha_k)$ for $(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k) \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+$.

A consequence of Theorem 3.2 is the following analogue of Rota's model theorem for noncommutative polyballs.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\pi_i : \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \to B(\mathcal{H})$, $i \in \{1,\ldots,k\}$, be representations with commuting ranges and let $\sigma : \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+ \to \mathcal{H}$ be their direct product representation. If*

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+\times\cdots\times\mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+} \sigma(\alpha)\sigma(\alpha)^* \leq bI,$$

for some contant $b>0$, then then there exists an invariant subspace $\mathcal{G}\subset F^2(H_{n_1})\otimes\cdots\otimes F^2(H_{n_k})\otimes\mathcal{H}$ under each operator $\mathbf{L}_{i,j}\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}$, and an invertible operator $Y:\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{G}$ such that

$$\sigma(\alpha)^*=Y^{-1}\left[(\pi_{\mathbf{L}}(\alpha)^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})|_{\mathcal{G}}\right]Y,\qquad \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+\times\cdots\times\mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+,$$

and

$$\|Y^{-1}\|\|Y\|\leq\prod_{i=1}^k\left(\sum_{\alpha_i\in\mathbb{F}_{n_i}}\|\pi_i(\alpha_i)\|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

A simple consequence of Corollary 3.4 is the following von Neumann type inequality. For $i\in\{1,\dots,k\}$, let $T_i:=(T_{i,1},\dots,T_{i,n_i})$ be such that $\|T_i\|\leq r<1$ and the entries of T_i commute with those of T_j for any $i\neq j$ in $\{1,\dots,k\}$. Then

$$\|[q_{s,t}(T_{i,j})]_{m\times m}\|\leq\frac{1}{(1-r^2)^{k/2}}\|[q_{s,t}(\mathbf{L}_{i,j})]_{m\times m}\|$$

for any matrix $[q_{s,t}]_{m\times m}$ of polynomials in variables $\{Z_{i,j}\}$ and any $m\in\mathbb{N}$.

Another consequence of Corollary 3.4 is the following analogue of Rota's model theorem for the polydisc.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $(C_1,\dots,C_k)\in B(\mathcal{H})^k$ be a commuting tuple of operators and let $S_1,\dots,S_k$ be the unilateral shifts on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D}^k)$ of the polydisc. If there is $b>0$ such that*

$$\sum_{(s_1,\dots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k}C_1^{s_1}\cdots C_k^{s_k}(C_k^{s_k})^*\cdots(C_1^{s_1})^*\leq bI,$$

then there exists an invariant subspace $\mathcal{G}\subset H^2(\mathbb{D}^k)\otimes\mathcal{H}$ under each operator $S_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}$, and an invertible operator $Y:\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{G}$ such that

$$C_i^*=Y^{-1}[(S_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})|_{\mathcal{G}}]Y,\qquad i\in\{1,\dots,k\}.$$

Moreover,

$$\|[q_{s,t}(C_1,\dots,C_k)]_{m\times m}\|\leq\sqrt{b}\sup_{|z_i|\leq1}\|[q_{s,t}(z_1,\dots,z_k)]_{m\times m}\|$$

for any matrix $[q_{s,t}]_{m\times m}$ of polynomials in k variables and any $m\in\mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $(C_1,\dots,C_k)\in B(\mathcal{H})^k$ be a commuting tuple of operators such that the spectral radius $r(C_i)<1$ for each $i\in\{1,\dots,k\}$. Then the conclusion of Corollary 3.5 holds with*

$$b=\prod_{i=1}^k\left(\sum_{s_i=0}^\infty\|C_i^{s_i}\|^2\right).$$

We remark if $(C_1,\dots,C_k)\in B(\mathcal{H})^k$ is any commuting tuple of operators with $\|C_i\|\leq r<1$ for $i\in\{1,\dots,k\}$, then Corollary 3.6 implies the inequality

$$\|[q_{s,t}(C_1,\dots,C_k)]_{m\times m}\|\leq\frac{1}{(1-r^2)^{k/2}}\sup_{|z_i|\leq1}\|[q_{s,t}(z_1,\dots,z_k)]_{m\times m}\|$$

for any matrix $[q_{s,t}]_{m\times m}$ of polynomials in k variables and any $m\in\mathbb{N}$.

Another consequence of Theorem 3.2 is the following analogue of Foiaş [10] (see also [31]) and de Branges–Rovnyak [4] model theorem for pure tuples of operators in $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$.

Corollary 3.7. *A tuple $\mathbf{T}:=(T_1,\dots,T_k)\in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1}\times_c\cdots\times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$ with $T_i:=(T_{i,1},\dots,T_{i,n_i})\in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$ is in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$ and it is pure if and only if there exists a unitary operator $U:\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{G}$ such that*

$$T_{i,j}^*=U^*[(\mathbf{S}_{i,j}^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}})|_{\mathcal{G}}]U$$

for all $i\in\{1,\dots,k\}$ and $j\in\{1,\dots,n_i\}$, where $\mathcal{D}:=\overline{\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{m}}(I)^{1/2}(\mathcal{H})}$, the subspace $\mathcal{G}\subseteq\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}}\otimes\mathcal{H}$ is invariant under each operator $\mathbf{S}_{i,j}^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}}$, and $\mathbf{S}:=(\mathbf{S}_1,\dots,\mathbf{S}_k)$, with $\mathbf{S}_i:=(\mathbf{S}_{i,1}\dots,\mathbf{S}_{i,n_i})$, is the universal model associated with the abstract noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_Q$.

Proof. A closer look at the proof of Theorem 3.2, when $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{T}$ and $Q = I_{\mathcal{H}}$, reveals that

$$\mathbf{K}_\omega^* \, \mathbf{K}_\omega = \sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1} \cdots \binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k}(R) = I,$$

where $\omega := (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{T}, R, \mathcal{Q})$ and $R := \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{m}}(I)$. Consequently, $\mathbf{K}_\omega$ is an isometry and the operator $U : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbf{K}_\omega(\mathcal{H})$, defined by $Uh := \mathbf{K}_\omega h$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$, is unitary. Now, one can use relation (3.1) to complete the proof. □

A version of Rota's model theorem (see [29], [11]) asserts that any operator with spectral radius less than one is similar to a strict contraction. In what follows we present an analogue of this result in our multivariable noncommutative setting.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a set of noncommutative polynomials in indeterminates $\{Z_{i,j}\}$ and let $\mathbf{A} := (A_1, \ldots, A_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, where $A_i := (A_{i,1}, \ldots, A_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$ has the property that $\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+} a_{i,\alpha} A_{i,\alpha} A_{i,\alpha}^*$ is weakly convergent and $q(\mathbf{A}) = 0$ for any $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. If $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$, then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) *There is a tuple $\mathbf{T} := (T_1, \ldots, T_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, with $T_i := (T_{i,1}, \ldots, T_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$, in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{m}}(I) > 0$ and an invertible operator $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that*
$$A_{i,j} = Y^{-1} T_{i,j} Y$$
for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_i\}$.

(ii) *There exists a positive operator $Q \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Q) \geq 0$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$, and*
$$\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}}(Q) > 0.$$

(iii) *$r_{f_i}(A_{i,1}, \ldots, A_{i,n_i}) < 1$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$.*

(iv) *$\lim_{s\to\infty} \|\Phi_{f_i,A_i}^s(I)\| = 0$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$.*

(v) *For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, the completely positive map Φ_{f_i,A_i} is power bounded and pure, and there is an invertible positive operator $R \in B(\mathcal{H})$, such that the equation*
$$\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}}(X) = R$$
has a positive solution X in $B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{p}}(X) \geq 0$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$.

Moreover, in this case, for any invertible positive operator $R \in B(\mathcal{H})$, the equation $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}}(X) = R$ has a unique positive solution, namely,

$$X := \sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1} \cdots \binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k}(R),$$

where the convergence is in the uniform topology, which is an invertible operator.

Proof. First we prove the equivalence (i) ⇔ (ii). Assume that (i) holds and $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{m}}(I) \geq cI$ for some $c > 0$. Then we have

$$Y \left[\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}}(Y^{-1}(Y^{-1})^*) \right] Y^* = \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{m}}(I) \geq cI.$$

Setting $Q := Y^{-1}(Y^{-1})^*$ we deduce that $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}}(Q) > 0$. Since $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$, we have

$$\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Q) = Y^{-1} \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{p}}(I)(Y^{-1})^* \geq 0$$

for any $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$. Conversely, assume that item (ii) holds and let $Q \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a positive operator such that$\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Q) \geq 0$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$, and $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}}(Q) > 0$. Since Φ_{f_i,A_i} is a positive linear map, we deduce that, for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$,

$$0 < \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}}(Q) \leq (id - \Phi_{f_i,A_i})^{m_i}(Q) \leq \cdots \leq (id - \Phi_{f_i,A_i})(Q) \leq Q.$$

Therefore, Q is an invertible positive operator. Since $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}}(Q) \geq bI$ for some constant $b > 0$, we can choose $c > 0$ such that $bI \geq cQ$, and deduce that

$$Q^{-1/2} [\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}}(Q)] Q^{-1/2} \geq cI.$$

Setting $T_i := Q^{-1/2}A_iQ^{1/2}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, the latter inequality implies $\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{T}}(I) > 0$. As above, we deduce that $\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{T}}(I) \geq 0$, for any $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$, which shows that $\mathbf{T} \in \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathcal{H})$. Since $q(\mathbf{A}) = 0, \quad q \in \mathcal{Q}$, we deduce that $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$. Therefore, item (i) holds.

Now we prove the equivalence (iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv). Assume that item (iii) holds and let $a > 0$ be such that $r_{f_i}(A_i) < a < 1$. Then there is $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|\Phi^s_{f_i,A_i}(I)\| \leq a^s$ for any $s \geq m_0$. This clearly implies condition (iv). Now, we assume that (iv) holds. Note that, for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_{f_i}(A_i)^s &= \lim_{p\to\infty} \left[\|\Phi^{sp}_{f_i,A_i}(I)\|^{1/2ps}\right]^s \\ &= \lim_{p\to\infty} \|\Phi^{s(p-1)}_{f_i,A_i}(\Phi^s_{f_i,A_i}(I))\|^{1/2p} \\ &\leq \lim_{p\to\infty} \left(\|\Phi^s_{f_i,A_i}(I)\|^p\right)^{1/2p} = \|\Phi^s_{f_i,A_i}(I)\|^{1/2} < a^{s/2} \end{aligned}$$

for any $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, $r_{f_i}(A_i) < 1$, so item (iii) holds. The implication (v) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is obvious.

In what follows we prove that (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Assume that item (i) holds. Let $\mathbf{T} := (T_1, \ldots, T_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, with $T_i := (T_{i,1}, \ldots, T_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$, be in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{T}}(I) > 0$ and let $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be an invertible operator such that

$$A_{i,j} = Y^{-1}T_{i,j}Y$$

for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_i\}$. Recall that under these conditions we have $(id - \Phi_{f_i,T_i})(I) > 0$, which implies $\|\Phi_{f_i,T_i}(I)\| < 1$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. On the other hand, note that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{f_i}(T_i) &= \lim_{s\to\infty} \|\Phi^s_{f_i,T_i}(I)\|^{1/2s} \\ &\leq \lim_{s\to\infty} \|Y\|^{1/s}\|Y^{-1}\|^{1/s}\|\Phi^s_{f_i,A_i}(I)\|^{1/2s} \\ &= r_{f_i}(A_i). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain the inequality $r_{f_i}(A_i) \leq r_{f_i}(T_i)$. Therefore, we have

$$r_{f_i}(A_i) = r_{f_i}(T_i) = \lim_{s\to\infty} \|\Phi^s_{f_i,T_i}(I)\|^{1/2s} \leq \|\Phi_{f_i,T_i}(I)\|^{1/2} < 1.$$

Therefore, item (iii) holds. Now, we prove the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (v). To this end, assume that $r_{f_i}(A_i) < 1$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and let $R \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be an invertible positive operator. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\|R^{-1}\|} I \leq R &\leq \sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}^k_+} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1} \cdots \binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \Phi^{s_1}_{f_1,A_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi^{s_k}_{f_k,A_k}(R) \\ &\leq \|R\| \left(\sum_{s_1=0}^{\infty} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1} \|\Phi^{s_1}_{f_1,A_1}(I)\|\right) \cdots \left(\sum_{s_k=0}^{\infty} \binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \|\Phi^{s_k}_{f_k,A_k}(I)\|\right) I. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\lim_{s_i\to\infty} \left[\binom{s_i+m_i-1}{m_i-1} \|\Phi^{s_i}_{f_i,A_i}(I)\|\right]^{1/2s_i} = r_{f_i}(T_i) < 1.$$

Consequently,

$$aI \leq \sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}^k_+} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1} \cdots \binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \Phi^{s_1}_{f_1,A_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi^{s_k}_{f_k,A_k}(R) \leq bI \tag{3.2}$$

for some constants $0 < a < b$, where the convergence of the series is in the operator norm topology. Since $r_{f_i}(A_i) < 1$, we have $\lim_{s\to\infty} \|\Phi^s_{f_i,A_i}(I)\| = 0$. Therefore, Φ_{f_i,A_i} is a power bounded, pure completely positive map which is WOT-continuous on bounded sets. Now, we can use Theorem 1.2 to obtain the equality

$$\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}} \left[\sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}^k_+} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1} \cdots \binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \Phi^{s_1}_{f_1,A_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi^{s_k}_{f_k,A_k}(R)\right] = R.$$

Consequently, and due to relation (3.2),

$$X := \sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1}\cdots\binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1}\circ\cdots\circ\Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k}(R)$$

is an invertible positive solution of the equation $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}}(X) = R$. Since $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}}(X) \geq 0$ and Φ_{f_i,A_i} is pure, we use Proposition 1.1 part (ii) to deduce that $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{p}}(X) \geq 0$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$. Therefore, item (v) holds.

To prove the last part of the theorem, let $X' \geq 0$ be an invertible operator such $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}}(X') = R$, where $R \geq 0$ is a fixed arbitrary invertible operator. Then, using again Theorem 1.2, we deduce that

$$\sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1}\cdots\binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1}\circ\cdots\circ\Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k}(R) = X'.$$

Therefore, there is unique positive solution of the inequality $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{m}}(X) = R$. The proof is complete. □

Now we can obtain the following multivariable generalization of Rota's similarity result (see Paulsen's book [15]).

Corollary 3.9. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.8, if the joint spectral radius $r_{f_i}(A_i) < 1$ for each $i \in \{1,\ldots,k\}$, then the tuple $\mathbf{T} := (T_1,\ldots,T_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, with*

$$T_i := (P^{-1/2}A_{i,1}P^{1/2},\ldots,P^{-1/2}A_{i,n_i}P^{1/2}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i},$$

is in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{m}}(I) > 0$, where

$$P := \sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1}\cdots\binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1}\circ\cdots\circ\Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k}(I)$$

is convergent in the operator norm topology and

$$\|P^{1/2}\|^2\|P^{-1/2}\|^2 \leq \sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1}\cdots\binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \|\Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1}(I)\|\cdots\|\Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k}(I)\|.$$

In particular, if each f_i is a positive regular noncommutative polynomial, then P is in the C^*-algebra generated by $A_{i,j}$ and the identity.

Proof. A closer look at the proof of Theorem 3.8 and taking $R = I$ leads to the desired result. The last part of this corollary is now obvious. □

We say that $\pi_i : \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a strictly row contractive representation if its generators form a strict row contraction, i.e. $\|[\pi_i(g_1^i)\cdots\pi_i(g_{n_i}^i)]\| < 1$. We denote

$$r(\pi_i) := r(\pi_i(g_1^i),\ldots,\pi_i(g_{n_i}^i))$$

and call it the spectral radius of π_i.

Corollary 3.10. *Let $\pi_i : \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \to B(\mathcal{H})$, $i \in \{1,\ldots,k\}$, be representations with commuting ranges and let $\sigma : \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times\cdots\times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+ \to \mathcal{H}$ be the direct product representation defined by*

$$\sigma(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k) = \pi_1(\alpha_1)\cdots\pi_k(\alpha_k), \qquad (\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k) \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times\cdots\times\mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+.$$

Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) *There is an invertible operator $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $Y^{-1}\sigma(\cdot)Y$ is the direct product of strictly row contractive representations, i.e. $Y^{-1}\pi_i(\cdot)Y$ is a strictly row contractive representation for each $i \in \{1,\ldots,k\}$.*

(ii) *$r(\pi_i) < 1$ for each $i \in \{1,\ldots,k\}$.*

In the particular case when $n_1 = \cdots n_k = 1$, Corollary 3.10 shows that a k-tuple of commuting operators $(C_1, \ldots, C_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^k$ is jointly similar to a k-tuple of commuting strict contractions $(G_1, \ldots, G_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if

$$r(C_i) < 1, \qquad i \in \{1, \ldots, k\},$$

where $r(C_i)$ denotes the spectral radius of C_i.

The next result provides necessary and sufficient conditions for tuples of operators to be similar to a tuple in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$. Since the proof is straightforward, we shall omit it.

Proposition 3.11. *Let $\mathbf{A} := (A_1, \ldots, A_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, where $A_i := (A_{i,1}, \ldots, A_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$ has the property that $\sum_{\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+} a_{i,\alpha} A_{i,\alpha} A_{i,\alpha}^*$ is weakly convergent and $q(\mathbf{A}) = 0$ for any $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) *There is a tuple $\mathbf{T} := (T_1, \ldots, T_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, with $T_i := (T_{i,1}, \ldots, T_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$, in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$, and an invertible operator $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that*

$$A_{i,j} = Y^{-1} T_{i,j} Y$$

for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_i\}$.

(ii) *There is an invertible positive operator $R \in B(\mathcal{H})$, such that*

$$\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{p}}(R) \geq 0$$

for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$.

4. Analogue of Sz.-Nagy's similarity result for noncommutative polydomains

Let $\mathbf{f} := (f_1, \ldots, f_k)$ be a k-tuple of positive regular free holomorphic functions and let $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_k)$ be in $\mathbb{N}^k$. Consider $\mathbf{A} := (A_1, \ldots, A_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times \cdots \times B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, where $A_i := (A_{i,1}, \ldots, A_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$, to be such that $\Phi_{f_i,A_i}(I)$ is well-defined in the weak operator topology, and let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a set of noncommutative polynomials in indeterminates $\{Z_{i,j}\}$ with $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_i\}$. In this section we provide necessary and sufficient conditions for a tuple $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \ldots, A_k)$ to be jointly similar to a tuple $\mathbf{T} := (T_1, \ldots, T_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times \cdots \times B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$ satisfying the property

$$\mathbf{T} \in \Big\{ \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H}) : \ \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{X}}^{\mathbf{p}}(I) = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p} \neq 0 \Big\}$$

We show that this similarity is strongly related to the existence of invertible positive solutions of the operator equation $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Y) = 0$. Here is our analogue of Sz.-Nagy's similarity result [30] for noncommutative polydomains.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathbf{A} := (A_1, \ldots, A_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, where $A_i := (A_{i,1}, \ldots, A_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$ has the property that $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+} a_{i,\alpha} A_{i,\alpha} A_{i,\alpha}^*$ is weakly convergent and $q(\mathbf{A}) = 0$ for any $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) *There is $\mathbf{T} := (T_1, \ldots, T_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$, with $T_i := (T_{i,1}, \ldots, T_{i,n_i}) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_i}$, in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$, such that*

$$\Phi_{f_i,T_i}(I) = I, \quad i \in \{1, \ldots, k\},$$

and an invertible operator $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$A_{i,j} = Y^{-1} T_{i,j} Y$$

for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_i\}$.

(ii) *There exist positive constants $0 < c \leq d$ such that*

$$cI \leq \Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k}(I) \leq dI, \qquad s_1, \ldots, s_k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

(iii) *There exist positive constants* $0 < c \leq d$ *such that*

$$cI \leq \frac{1}{p^{(1)} \cdots p^{(k)}} \sum_{s_k=0}^{p^{(k)}-1} \cdots \sum_{s_1=0}^{p^{(1)}-1} \Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1}(I) \leq dI$$

for any $p^{(1)}, \ldots, p^{(k)} \in \mathbb{N}$.

(iv) *There is a positive invertible operator* $Q \in B(\mathcal{H})$ *such that* $\Phi_{f_i,A_i}(Q) = Q$ *for any* $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. *Moreover, the operator* Q *can be chosen in the von Neumann algebra generated by* $\{A_{i,j}\}$ *and the identity such that* $cI \leq Q \leq dI$.

Proof. We prove that (i) $\implies$ (ii). Assume that item (i) holds. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k}(I) &= Y^{-1} \left[\Phi_{f_1,T_1}^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,T_k}^{s_k}(YY^*)\right] Y^{*-1} \\ &\leq \|YY^*\| Y^{-1} \left[\Phi_{f_1,T_1}^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,T_k}^{s_k}(I)\right] Y^{*-1} \\ &\leq \|Y\|^2 \|Y^{-1}\|^2 I.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\Phi_{f_i,T_i}(I) = I$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}I = \Phi_{f_1,T_1}^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,T_k}^{s_k}(I) &= Y \left[\Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k}(Y^{-1}Y^{*-1})\right] Y^* \\ \leq \|Y^{-1}Y^{*-1}\| Y \left[\Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k}(I)\right] Y^*.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$Y^{-1}Y^{*-1} \leq \|Y^{-1}Y^{*-1}\| \Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k}(I)$$

which implies

$$\Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k}(I) \geq \frac{1}{\|Y^{-1}\|} Y^{-1}Y^{*-1} \geq \frac{1}{\|Y\|^2 \|Y^{-1}\|} I.$$

Note that the implication (ii) $\implies$ (iii) is obvious. Now, we prove that (iii) $\implies$ (iv). Assume that item (iii) holds. For each $(p^{(1)}, \ldots, p^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{N}^k$, we define the operator

$$Q_{(p^{(1)},\ldots,p^{(k)})} := \frac{1}{p^{(1)} \cdots p^{(k)}} \sum_{s_k=0}^{p^{(k)}-1} \cdots \sum_{s_1=0}^{p^{(1)}-1} \Phi_{f_k,A_k}^{s_k} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1}(I).$$

In what follows, we show that there are subsequences $\{p_{j_1}^{(1)}\}_{j_1=1}^{\infty}, \ldots, \{p_{j_k}^{(k)}\}_{j_k=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$Q := \lim_{j_k \to \infty} \ldots \lim_{j_1 \to \infty} Q_{(p_{j_1}^{(1)},\ldots,p_{j_k}^{(k)})}$$

exists, where the limits are taken in the weak operator topology, and Q is a positive invertible operator with the property that $\Phi_{f_i,A_i}(Q) = Q$ for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$.

Define the sequence of operators $\{Q_{p^{(1)},A_1}\}_{p^{(1)}=1}^{\infty}$ by setting

$$Q_{p^{(1)},A_1} := \frac{1}{p^{(1)}} \sum_{s_1=0}^{p^{(1)}-1} \Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1}(I).$$

Note that $cI \leq Q_{p^{(1)},A_1} \leq dI$ for any $p^{(1)} \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the closed unit ball of $B(\mathcal{H})$ is weakly compact, there is a subsequence $\{Q_{p_{j_1}^{(1)},A_1}\}_{j_1=1}^{\infty}$ weakly convergent to an operator $Q_{A_1} \in B(\mathcal{H})$. It is clear that Q_{A_1} is an invertible positive operator and $cI \leq Q_{A_1} \leq dI$.

Let $P \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be an invertible positive operator with the property that

$$\frac{1}{j+1} \sum_{s_1=0}^{j} \Phi_{f_1,A_1}^{s_1}(P) \leq bI, \qquad j \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Note that this inequality is satisfied when $P = I$. Using the fact that Φ_{f_1,A_1} is a positive linear map, for any $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^t_{f_1,A_1}(P)\left(\sum_{j=0}^t \frac{1}{j+1}\right) &\leq \sum_{j=0}^t \frac{1}{j+1}\|\Phi^j_{f_1,A_1}(P)\|\Phi^{t-j}_{f_1,A_1}(I)\\ &\leq b\sum_{j=0}^t \Phi^{t-j}_{f_1,A_1}(I) = b\sum_{j=0}^t \Phi^j_{f_1,A_1}(I)\\ &\leq b\|P^{-1}\|\sum_{j=0}^t \Phi^j_{f_1,A_1}(P) \leq b^2(t+1)I.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{t}\Phi^t_{f_1,A_1}(P) \leq \frac{b^2\frac{t+1}{t}}{\sum_{j=0}^t \frac{1}{j+1}}I, \qquad t \in \mathbb{N},$$

which implies $\frac{1}{t}\Phi^t_{f_1,A_1}(P) \to 0$ in norm as $t \to \infty$. In particular, this convergence holds when $P = I$.

On the other hand, since

$$Q_{p^{(1)}_{j_1},A_1} - \Phi_{f_1,A_1}(Q_{p^{(1)}_{j_1},A_1}) = \frac{1}{p^{(1)}_{j_1}}I - \frac{1}{p^{(1)}_{j_1}}\Phi^{p^{(1)}_{j_1}}_{f_1,A_1}(I)$$

and $\frac{1}{p^{(1)}_{j_1}}\Phi^{p^{(1)}_{j_1}}_{f_1,A_1}(I) \to 0$ in norm as $j_1 \to \infty$, we deduce that $Q_{p^{(1)}_{j_1},A_1} - \Phi_{f_1,A_1}(Q_{p^{(1)}_{j_1},A_1}) \to 0$ in norm as $j_1 \to \infty$. Since Φ_{f_1,A_1} is weakly continuous on bounded sets and $Q_{p^{(1)}_{j_1},A_1} \to Q_{A_1}$ weakly, we deduce that

$$\Phi_{f_1,A_1}(Q_{A_1}) = Q_{A_1}.$$

Define the sequence of operators $\{Q_{p^{(2)},A_2}\}_{p^{(2)}=1}^\infty$ by setting

$$Q_{p^{(2)},A_2} := \frac{1}{p^{(2)}}\sum_{s_2=0}^{p^{(2)}-1}\Phi^{s_2}_{f_2,A_2}(Q_{A_1}).$$

Note that $cI \leq Q_{p^{(2)},A_2} \leq dI$ for any $p^{(2)} \in \mathbb{N}$. As above, one can prove that $\frac{1}{t}\Phi^t_{f_2,A_2}(Q_{A_1}) \to 0$ in norm as $t \to \infty$. Since the closed unit ball of $B(\mathcal{H})$ is weakly compact, there is a subsequence $\{Q_{p^{(2)}_{j_2},A_2}\}_{j_2=1}^\infty$ weakly convergent to an operator $Q_{A_2,A_1} \in B(\mathcal{H})$. It is clear that Q_{A_2,A_1} is an invertible positive operator and $cI \leq Q_{A_2,A_1} \leq dI$. Since

$$Q_{p^{(2)}_{j_2},A_2} - \Phi_{f_2,A_2}(Q_{p^{(2)}_{j_2},A_2}) = \frac{1}{p^{(2)}_{j_2}}Q_{A_1} - \frac{1}{p^{(2)}_{j_2}}\Phi^{p^{(2)}_{j_2}}_{f_2,A_2}(Q_{A_1})$$

and $\frac{1}{p^{(1)}_{j_2}}\Phi^{p^{(1)}_{j_1}}_{f_2,A_2}(Q_{A_1}) \to 0$ in norm as $j_2 \to \infty$, we deduce that $Q_{p^{(2)}_{j_2},A_2} - \Phi_{f_2,A_2}(Q_{p^{(2)}_{j_2},A_2}) \to 0$ in norm as $j_2 \to \infty$. Since Φ_{f_2,A_2} is weakly continuous on bounded sets and $Q_{p^{(2)}_{j_2},A_2} \to Q_{A_2,A_1}$ weakly, we deduce that

$$\Phi_{f_2,A_2}(Q_{A_2,A_1}) = Q_{A_2,A_1}.$$

Since Φ_{f_1,A_1} is WOT-continuous on bounded sets, Φ_{f_1,A_1} commutes with Φ_{f_2,A_2}, and $\Phi_{f_1,A_1}(Q_{A_1}) = Q_{A_1}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{f_1,A_1}(Q_{A_2,A_1}) &= \text{WOT-}\lim_{j_2\to\infty} \Phi_{f_1,A_1}(Q_{A_2,p_{j_2}^{(2)}}) \\
&= \text{WOT-}\lim_{j_2\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{p_{j_2}^{(2)}} \sum_{s_2=0}^{p_{j_2}^{(2)}-1} \Phi_{f_2,A_2}^{s_2}(\Phi_{f_1,A_1}(Q_{A_1})) \right) \\
&= \text{WOT-}\lim_{j_2\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{p_{j_2}^{(2)}} \sum_{s_2=0}^{p_{j_2}^{(2)}-1} \Phi_{f_2,A_2}^{s_2}(Q_{A_1}) \right) \\
&= Q_{A_2,A_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Continuing this process, we find an invertible positive operator $Q_{A_k,\dots,A_1}$ with the property that $cI \leq Q_{A_k,\dots,A_1} \leq dI$ and

$$\Phi_{f_i,A_i}(Q_{A_k,\dots,A_1}) = Q_{A_k,\dots,A_1}, \qquad i \in \{1,\dots,k\}.$$

Therefore, item (iv) holds. To prove that (iv) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) we assume that there is a positive invertible operator $Q \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\Phi_{f_i,A_i}(Q) = Q$ for any $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$. Set $T_{i,j} := Q^{-1/2}A_{i,j}Q^{1/2}$ for all $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$ and $j \in \{1,\dots,n_i\}$, and note that

$$\Phi_{f_i,T_i}(I) = Q^{-1/2}\Phi_{f_i,A_i}(Q)Q^{-1/2} = I, \qquad i \in \{1,\dots,k\}.$$

The proof is complete. □

We say that $\pi_i : \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is row contractive (resp. coisometric, Cuntz) representation if its generators form a row contraction (resp. coisometry, unitary), i.e. the operator matrix $[\pi_i(g_1^i)\cdots\pi_i(g_{n_i}^i)]$ is contractive (resp. coisometric, unitary) from the direct sum $\mathcal{H}^{(n_i)} := \mathcal{H}\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{H}$.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\pi_i : \mathbb{F}_{n_i}^+ \to B(\mathcal{H})$, $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$, be representations with commuting ranges and let $\sigma : \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times\cdots\times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+ \to \mathcal{H}$ be the direct product representation defined by*

$$\sigma(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k) = \pi_1(\alpha_1)\cdots\pi_k(\alpha_k), \qquad (\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k) \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times\cdots\times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+.$$

Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) *There is an invertible operator $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $Y^{-1}\sigma(\cdot)Y$ is the direct product of row coisometric representations, i.e. $Y^{-1}\pi_i(\cdot)Y$ is a row coisometric representation for each $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$.*

(ii) *There exist constants $0 < c \leq d$ such*

$$c\|h\|^2 \leq \|\sigma(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k)h\|^2 \leq d\|h\|^2, \qquad h \in \mathcal{H},$$

for any $(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k) \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+ \times\cdots\times \mathbb{F}_{n_k}^+$.

We should remark that another consequence of Theorem 4.1 regarding the similarity to a direct product of Cuntz representations was mentioned in the introduction. Note also that in the particular case when $n_1 = \cdots = n_k = 1$ Corollary 4.2 implies the following result for the polydisc.

Corollary 4.3. *A k-tuple of commuting operators $(C_1,\dots,C_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^k$ is jointly similar to a k-tuple of commuting isometries $(V_1,\dots,V_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if there are constants $0 < c \leq d$ such that*

$$c\|h\|^2 \leq \|C_1^{s_1}\cdots C_k^{s_k}h\|^2 \leq d\|h\|^2, \quad h \in \mathcal{H},$$

for any $s_1,\dots,s_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Moreover, there is an invertible operator $\xi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ such that $V_i = \xi C_i \xi^{-1}$ for $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$ and ξ is in the von Neumann algebra generated by $C_1,\dots,C_n$ and the identity.

We remark that under the conditions of Corollary 4.3, we have the inequality

$$\|[q_{s,t}(C_1,\dots,C_k)]_{m\times m}\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{d}{c}} \sup_{|z_i|\leq 1} \|[q_{s,t}(z_1,\dots,z_k)]_{m\times m}\|$$

for any matrix $[q_{s,t}]_{m\times m}$ of polynomials in k variables and any $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

As a consequence of Corollary 4.3, we deduce the well-known result (see [8], [7]) that any uniformly bounded representation $u : \mathbb{Z}^k \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is similar to a unitary representation. More precisely there is an invertible operator $\xi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ such that $\xi u(\cdot)\xi^{-1}$ is a unitary representation, and ξ can be chosen in the von Neumann algebra generated by $u(\mathbb{Z}^k)$. In the particular case when $k = 1$, we recover Sz-Nagy similarity result [30].

5. Joint similarity of positive linear maps

In what follows, we provide analogues of all the similarity results presented in the previous sections in the context of joint similarity of commuting tuples of positive linear maps on the algebra of bounded linear operators on a separable Hilbert space.

We say that a commuting k-tuple $\Lambda := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ of positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$ is pure if, for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $\lambda_i^s(I) \to 0$ weakly as $s \to \infty$. Let $\Phi := (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k)$ be another k-tuples of commuting positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{K})$. We say that Φ is jointly similar to Λ if there is an invertible operator $R \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ such that

$$\varphi_i(RXR^*) = R\lambda_i(X)R^*, \qquad X \in B(\mathcal{H}),$$

for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. This relation is equivalent to $\varphi_i = \psi_R \circ \lambda_i \circ \psi_R^{-1}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, where $\psi_R(X) := RXR^*$. Note that the relation above shows that the discrete semigroups of positive linear maps $\{\varphi_1^{p_1} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_k^{p_k}\}_{(p_1,\ldots,p_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k}$ and $\{\lambda_1^{p_1} \circ \cdots \circ \lambda_k^{p_k}\}_{(p_1,\ldots,p_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k}$ are also similar. We also remark that $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}}(RXR^*) = R\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}^{\mathbf{p}}(X)R^*$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ and $X \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Consequently, $D \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$ if and only if $RDR^* \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\Phi}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$. In particular, we have $I \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$ if and only if $RR^* \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\Phi}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$.

We recall (see e.g. [9]) that any w^*-continuous completely positive map φ on $B(\mathcal{H})$ is determined by a sequence $\{C_\kappa\}_{\kappa=1}^n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $n = \infty$) of bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$, in the sense that

$$\varphi(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_j X C_j^*, \qquad X \in B(\mathcal{H}),$$

where, if $n = \infty$, the convergence is in the w^*-topology. The next result is an analogue of Theorem 3.2 for commuting k-tuples of w^*-continuous completely positive linear maps.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\Phi := (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k)$ be a commuting k-tuple of w^*-continuous completely positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$ and let $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_+^k$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) *Φ is jointly similar to a commuting k-tuple $\Lambda := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ of pure w^*-continuous positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{G})$, where $\mathcal{G}$ is a Hilbert space, such that $I \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$.*

(ii) *There is an invertible operator $Q \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\Phi}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$ such that, for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $\varphi_i^s(Q) \to 0$ weakly as $s \to \infty$.*

(iii) *There exist constants $0 < a \leq b$ and a positive operator $R \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that*

$$aI \leq \sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1 + m_1 - 1}{m_1 - 1} \cdots \binom{s_k + m_k - 1}{m_k - 1} \varphi_1^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_k^{s_k}(R) \leq bI.$$

Proof. Assume that condition (i) holds. Then there is an invertible operator $Y \in B(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\varphi_i(YXY^*) = Y\lambda_i(X)Y^*, \qquad X \in B(\mathcal{G}),$$

for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, and $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}^{\mathbf{p}}(I) \geq 0$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$. Since $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}}(YY^*) = Y\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}^{\mathbf{p}}(I)Y^*$, we deduce that $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Q) \geq 0$, where $Q := YY^*$ is an invertible positive operator. On the other hand, since $\varphi_i^s(Q) = Y\lambda_i^s(I)Y^*$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, we conclude that item (ii) holds. Now, we prove that (ii) $\implies$ (iii). Let $Q \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$ be an invertible operator such that, for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $\varphi_i^s(Q) \to 0$ weakly as $s \to \infty$. Setting $R := \mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{m}}(Q)$ and using Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 1.4, we obtain

$$\sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1 + m_1 - 1}{m_1 - 1} \cdots \binom{s_k + m_k - 1}{m_k - 1} \varphi_1^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_k^{s_k}(R) = Q$$

where the convergence of the series is in the weak operator topology. Hence, we deduce item (iii). To prove the implication (iii) $\implies$ (i) we assume that item (iii) holds. Since each φ_i is a w^*-continuous completely positive linear map on $B(\mathcal{H})$, there is a sequence $\{A_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^{n_i}$ ($n_i \in \mathcal{H}$ or $n_i = \infty$) of bounded operators on $B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\varphi_i(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} A_{i,j} X A_{i,j}^*$ for any $X \in B(\mathcal{H})$. According to Remark 2.3, Theorem 2.1 holds true when $f_i = q_i := Z_{i,1} + \cdots + Z_{i,n_i}$ (even when $n_i = \infty$) and $\mathbf{q} := (q_1, \ldots, q_k)$. In this case, the generalized Berezin kernel associated with the compatible quadruple $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{A}, R)$ has the property that for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_i\}$,

$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{A}}^R A_{i,j}^* = (\mathbf{W}_{i,j}^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{R}}) \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{A}}^R, \tag{5.1}$$

where $\mathcal{R} := \overline{R^{1/2}\mathcal{H}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathbf{W} = \{\mathbf{W}_{i,j}\}$ is the universal model associated with the abstract noncommutative polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{m}}$. Moreover, we have

$$(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{A}}^R)^* \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{A}}^R = \sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1 + m_1 - 1}{m_1 - 1} \cdots \binom{s_k + m_k - 1}{m_k - 1} \varphi_1^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_k^{s_k}(R),$$

where the convergence is in the weak operator topology, which implies

$$a\|h\|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{A}}^R h\|^2 \leq b\|h\|^2, \qquad h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Then $\mathcal{G} := \operatorname{range} \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{A}}^R$ is a closed subspace of $F^2(H_{n_1}) \otimes \cdots F^2(H_{n_k}) \otimes \mathcal{H}$ and invariant under each operator $\mathbf{W}_{i,j}^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}$. Since the operator $Y : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{G}$ defined by $Yh := \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{A}}^R h$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$, is invertible, relation (5.1) implies

$$A_{i,j}^* = Y^{-1}[(\mathbf{S}_{i,j}^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})|_{\mathcal{G}}]Y. \tag{5.2}$$

For all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_i\}$, set $T_{i,j} := P_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{W}_{i,j} \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})|_{\mathcal{G}}$ and define $\lambda_i(X) := \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} T_{i,j} X T_{i,j}^*$ for any $X \in B(\mathcal{G})$. Note that $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}^{\mathbf{p}}(I) = P_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{p}}(I) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})|_{\mathcal{G}} \geq 0$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$. Since $\lambda_i^s(I) = P_{\mathcal{G}}(\Phi_i^s(I) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})|_{\mathcal{G}}$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\Phi_i^s(I) \to 0$ weakly as $s \to \infty$, we deduce that $\Lambda := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ is a tuple of pure w^*-continuous completely positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{G})$. On the other hand, due to relation (5.2), we have $\varphi_i(Y^*XY) = Y^*\lambda_i(X)Y$ for any $X \in B(\mathcal{G})$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. Therefore, item (i) holds and the proof is complete. □

We remark that there is an analogue of Proposition 3.11 for commuting k-tuple of positive linear maps. Indeed, one can easily see that if $\Phi := (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k)$ is a commuting k-tuple of positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$, then Φ is jointly similar to a commuting k-tuple $\Lambda := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ of positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\mathbf{\Delta}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{p}}(I) \geq 0, \qquad \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m},$$

if and only if there is an invertible positive operator $R \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}}(R) \geq 0$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$.

We recall that the spectral radius of a positive linear map φ on $B(\mathcal{H})$ is defined by $r(\varphi) := \lim_{s\to\infty} \|\varphi^k\|^{1/k}$. The analogue of Theorem 3.8 for commuting k-tuple of positive linear maps is the following.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\Phi := (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k)$ be a commuting k-tuple of positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) *$r(\varphi_i) < 1$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$.*
(ii) *Φ is jointly similar to a commuting k-tuple $\Lambda := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ of positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$, with $\lambda_i(I) < I$ for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$.*
(iii) *For each $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_+^k$, Φ is jointly similar to a commuting k-tuple $\Lambda := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ of positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$ with $I \in \mathcal{C}_>(\mathbf{\Delta}_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{m}})^+$.*

Proof. First we prove that (iii) $\implies$ (ii) $\implies$ (i). Assume that (iii) holds and fix $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_+^k$. Then there is an invertible operator $R \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\varphi_i(RXR^*) = R\lambda_i(X)R^*, \qquad X \in B(\mathcal{H}),$$

for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, and $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}^{\mathbf{p}}(I) > 0$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$. Consequently, $\lambda_i(I) < I$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. Therefore item (ii) holds. Now, we prove that (ii) $\implies$ (i). Note that $\varphi_i^s(RR^*) = R\lambda_i^s(I)R^*$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} r(\lambda_i) &= \lim_{s\to\infty} \|\lambda_i^s(I)\|^{1/2s} \\ &\leq \lim_{s\to\infty} \left(\|R^{-1}\|^2\|R\|^2\|\varphi_i^s(I)\|\right)^{1/2s} \leq r(\varphi_i). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain the inequality $r(\varphi_i) \leq r(\lambda_i)$. Therefore,

$$r(\varphi_i) = r(\lambda_i) = \lim_{s\to\infty} \|\lambda_i^s(I)\|^{1/2s} \leq \|\lambda_i(I)\|^{1/2} < 1$$

for $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, which proves our assertion. Now, we prove that (i) $\implies$ (iii). Assume that $r(\varphi_i) < 1$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and let $R \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be an arbitrary invertible operator. As in the proof of Theorem 3.8 (implication (iii) $\implies$ (v)), we can deduce that

$$aI \leq Q := \sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1} \cdots \binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \varphi_1^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_k^{s_k}(R) \leq bI$$

for some constants $0 < a \leq b$, where the convergence is in the operator norm. Since $r(\varphi_i) < 1$, we also have $\lim_{s\to\infty} \|\varphi^s(I)\| = 0$, which shows that φ_i is pure. Using Theorem 1.2 and the continuity in norm of φ_i, we obtain

$$\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{m}}[Q] = R > 0.$$

Since φ_i is pure, Proposition 1.1 part (ii) implies $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Q) \geq 0$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$. Consequently and using the fact that $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{m}}[Q] > 0$, we deduce that $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Q) > 0$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, set

$$\lambda_i := Q^{-1/2}\varphi_i(Q^{1/2}XQ^{1/2})Q^{-1/2}$$

and $\mathbf{\Lambda} = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$. Now it is clear that $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}^{\mathbf{p}}(I) = Q^{-1/2}\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{p}}(Q)Q^{-1/2} > 0$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $\mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}$. Therefore, $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is jointly similar to $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ and $I \in \mathcal{C}_>(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Lambda^{\mathbf{m}})^+$. This completes the proof. □

We remark that the condition $I \in \mathcal{C}_>(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Lambda^{\mathbf{m}})^+$ implies $\lambda_i(I) < I$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, but the converse is not true. On the other hand, if $r(\varphi_i) < 1$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, then the equation $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathbf{m}}(X) = R$, where $R \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is an invertible positive operator, has a unique positive solution, namely,

$$X := \sum_{(s_1,\ldots,s_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \binom{s_1+m_1-1}{m_1-1} \cdots \binom{s_k+m_k-1}{m_k-1} \varphi_1^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_k^{s_k}(R),$$

where the convergence is in the uniform topology. Moreover, X is an invertible operator in $\mathcal{C}_>(\mathbf{\Delta}_\Lambda^{\mathbf{m}})^+$.

The next result is an analogue of Theorem 4.1 for commuting k-tuple of w^*-continuous positive linear maps. Since the proof is similar, we shall omit it.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\Phi := (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k)$ be a commuting k-tuple of w^*-continuous positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) *Φ is jointly similar to a commuting k-tuple $\Lambda := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ of w^*-continuous positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$, with $\lambda_i(I) = I$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$.*

(ii) *There exist constants $0 < c \leq d$ such that*

$$cI \leq \varphi_1^{s_1} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_k^{s_k}(I) \leq dI, \qquad (s_1, \ldots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k.$$

(iii) *There exist positive constants $0 < c \leq d$ such that*

$$cI \leq \frac{1}{p^{(1)} \ldots p^{(k)}} \sum_{s_k=0}^{p^{(k)}-1} \cdots \sum_{s_1=0}^{p^{(1)}-1} \varphi_k^{s_k} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_1^{s_1}(I) \leq dI$$

for any $p^{(1)}, \ldots, p^{(k)} \in \mathbb{N}$.

(iv) *There is a positive invertible operator $Q \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\varphi_i(Q) = Q$ for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$.*

References

[1] F.A. Berezin, Covariant and contravariant symbols of operators, (Russian), *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat.* **36** (1972), 1134–1167.

[2] J.A. Ball, Rota's theorem for general functional Hilbert spaces, *Proc. Amer, Math. Soc.* **64** (1977), 55–61.

[3] E. Bombieri, J. Bourgain, A remark on Bohr's inequality, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2004**, no. 80, 4307-4330.

[4] L. de Branges, J. Rovnyak, Canonical models in quantum scattering theory, *Perturbation Theory and its Applications in Quantum Mechanics*, 1966, pp. 295–392 Wiley, New York.

[5] D.N. Clark, On commuting contractions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **32** (1970), 590–596.

[6] K. Davidson, V. Paulsen, On polynomially bounded operators, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **487** (1997) 153–170.

[7] M. Day, Means for the bounded functions and ergodicity of the bounded representations of semi-groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **69** (1950), 276-291.

[8] J. Dixmier, Les moyennes invariantes dans les semi-groupes et leurs applications, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **12** (1950), 213-227.

[9] D. E. Evans, J. T. Lewis, Dilations of irreversible evolutions in algebraic quantum theory, *Comm. Dublin Inst. Adv. Studies Ser. A* **24** (1977).

[10] C. Foiaş, A remark on the universal model for contractions of G. C. Rota. (Romanian) *Com. Acad. R. P. Romîne* **13** 1963, 349–352.

[11] P.R. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book*, Van Nostrand (New York, 1967).

[12] P.R. Halmos, Ten problems in Hilbert space, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **76** 1970, 887–933.

[13] D.N. Herrero, A Rota universal model for operators with multiply connected spectrum, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **21** (1976), 15–23.

[14] V.I. Paulsen, Every completely polynomially bounded operator is similar to a contraction, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **55** (1984), 1–17.

[15] V.I. Paulsen, *Completely Bounded Maps and Dilations*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, Vol.146, New York, 1986.

[16] G. Pisier, A polynomially bounded operator on Hilbert space which is not similar to a contraction, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **10** (1997), no. 2, 351–369.

[17] G. Pisier, Joint similarity problems and the generation of operator algebras with bounded length, *Integr. Equ. Op. Th.* **31** (1998), 353-370.

[18] G. Pisier, *Similarity problems and completely bounded maps*, Second, expanded edition. Includes the solution to "The Halmos problem". Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1618. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. viii+198 pp.

[19] G. Popescu, Models for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators, *Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **53** (1989), 355–368.

[20] G. Popescu, Von Neumann inequality for $(B(H)^n)_1$, *Math. Scand.* **68** (1991), 292–304.

[21] G. Popescu, Poisson transforms on some C^*-algebras generated by isometries, *J. Funct. Anal.* **161** (1999), 27–61.

[22] G. Popescu, Similarity and ergodic theory of positive linear maps, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **561** (2003), 87–129.

[23] G. Popescu, Characteristic functions and joint invariant subspaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **237** (2006), no. 1, 277–320.

[24] G. Popescu, Noncommutative Berezin transforms and multivariable operator model theory, *J. Funct. Anal.* **254** (2008), 1003-1057.

[25] G. Popescu, Operator theory on noncommutative domains, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **205** (2010), no. 964, vi+124 pp.

[26] G. Popescu, Joint similarity to operators in noncommutative varieties, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **(3) 103** (2011), no. 2, 331-370.

[27] G. Popescu, Berezin transforms on noncommutative polydomains, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.

[28] G. Popescu, Berezin transforms on noncommutative varieties in polydomains, *J. Funct. Anal.* **265** (2013), no. 10, 2500-2552.

[29] G.C. Rota, On models for linear operators, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math* **13** (1960), 469–472.

[30] B. Sz.-Nagy, On uniformly bounded linear transformations in Hilbert space *Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **11** (1947), 152–157.

[31] B. Sz.-Nagy, C. Foiaş, H. Bercovici, and L. Kérchy, *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*, Second edition. Revised and enlarged edition. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2010. xiv+474 pp.

[32] D.V. Voiculescu, Norm-limits of algebraic operators, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **19** (1974), 371–378.

[33] J. von Neumann, Eine Spectraltheorie für allgemeine Operatoren eines unitären Raumes, *Math. Nachr.* **4** (1951), 258–281.

Department of Mathematics, The University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78249, USA

E-mail address: gelu.popescu@utsa.edu